ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2022 March 17 7:48 AM - SCPSC - 2022-93-E - Page 1 of 3

Duke, Daphne

From: Weston Adams <weston.adams@nelsonmullins.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2022 5:00 PM
To: Boyd, Jocelyn
Cc: Mustian, Ben; Butler, David; Stark, David; Wessinger-Hill, JoAnne; Court Walsh; Bateman, Andrew; Grube-Lybarker, Carri; Parrish, Duane; dickmajs@dhec.sc.gov; rigginL@dnr.sc.gov; Hall, Roger; martinsv@dhec.sc.gov; Steve Davidson; PSC_Contact; Hancock, Sonya; Schmieding, Janice; Duke, Daphne
Subject: Re: [External] RE: Consent Schedule Proposal: Docket Nos. 2022-93-E & 2022-97-E

Ms Boyd:
Thanks very much-
Weston

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 16, 2022, at 4:48 PM, Boyd, Jocelyn <Jocelyn.Boyd@psc.sc.gov> wrote:

Mr. Adams:

The email chain will suffice for the consent schedule request. We will post this email chain on the Docket Management System.

Jocelyn

Jocelyn Boyd
Public Service Commission of South Carolina
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100
Columbia, South Carolina 29210
Jocelyn.Boyd@psc.sc.gov
803-896-5100

From: Weston Adams <weston.adams@nelsonmullins.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2022 2:59 PM
To: Mustian, Ben <BMustian@ors.sc.gov>; Boyd, Jocelyn <Jocelyn.Boyd@psc.sc.gov>
Cc: Butler, David <David.Butler@psc.sc.gov>; Stark, David <david.stark@psc.sc.gov>; Wessinger-Hill, JoAnne <JoAnne.Hill@psc.sc.gov>; Court Walsh <court.walsh@nelsonmullins.com>; Bateman, Andrew <abateman@ors.sc.gov>; Grube-Lybarker, Carri <clybarker@scconsumer.gov>; Parrish, Duane <dparrish@scprt.com>; dickmajs@dhec.sc.gov; rigginL@dnr.sc.gov; Hall, Roger <RHall@scconsumer.gov>; martinsv@dhec.sc.gov; Steve Davidson <steve.davidson@nelsonmullins.com>
Subject: [External] RE: Consent Schedule Proposal: Docket Nos. 2022-93-E & 2022-97-E

Ms. Boyd:

We have received no objection from the Statutory Parties or from any other recipient of my below email, as to the proposed consent schedule. ORS and the Applicants would like to move forward with proposing this schedule. Please advise if this email chain will suffice for this consent schedule request, or whether you prefer that we submit a more formal letter or Motion.
Thanks very much for your assistance-
All the best,
Weston

From: Weston Adams
Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2022 11:28 AM
To: Mustian, Ben <BMustian@ors.sc.gov>; Boyd, Jocelyn <Jocelyn.Boyd@psc.sc.gov>
Cc: Butler, David <David.Butler@psc.sc.gov>; Stark, David <david.stark@psc.sc.gov>; Wessinger-Hill, JoAnne <JoAnne.Hill@psc.sc.gov>; Court Walsh <court.walsh@nelsonmullins.com>; Bateman, Andrew <abateman@ors.sc.gov>; Grube-Lybarker, Carri <clybarker@scconsumer.gov>; Parrish, Duane <dparrish@scprt.com>; dickmajs@dhec.sc.gov; rigginL@dnr.sc.gov; Hall, Roger <RHall@scconsumer.gov>; martinsv@dhec.sc.gov; Steve Davidson <steve.davidson@nelsonmullins.com>
Subject: Consent Schedule Proposal: Docket Nos. 2022-93-E & 2022-97-E

To the statutory parties SCDNR, SCDHEC, and SCPRT ("Statutory Parties"):
ORS and the Applicants SR Lambert I, LLC and SR Lambert II, LLC ("Applicants") have agreed upon the following consent schedule **which we plan to present to Staff via email Wednesday morning (March 16) at 9:00 AM.**
June 15 – ORS and Other Parties Testimony
June 29 – Applicant Rebuttal Testimony
July 13 – ORS Surrebuttal Testimony
July 18 – Hearing
Also, the matters are currently scheduled for hearing on May 9 (2022-93-E) and May 12 (2022-97-E). **ORS and the Applicants also propose that we briefly convene both hearings together on May 12** with only counsel present, and no witnesses, in order to satisfy the statutory requirement that the application proceedings be convened within 60 to 90 days of application filing. We propose convening briefly for that purpose only, and then reconvening on July 18 for the full hearing with witnesses. Further, if it would be helpful to Staff, ORS and the Applicants are willing to convene a brief status conference in the near future to answer any questions that Staff might have on the above consent proposal.
If any Statutory Party objects to the above schedule proposal, please let us know before Wednesday morning March 16 at 9:00 AM.
Finally, the above consent schedule proposal is of course subject to the Commission's approval of the proposal.

ORS: Please confirm the above accurately describes the consent agreement of ORS and the Applicants.

Thanks very much to all-
Weston Adams, III
Counsel for Applicants

From: Mustian, Ben <BMustian@ors.sc.gov>
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2022 2:50 PM
To: Boyd, Jocelyn <Jocelyn.Boyd@psc.sc.gov>
Cc: Butler, David <David.Butler@psc.sc.gov>; Stark, David <david.stark@psc.sc.gov>; Wessinger-Hill, JoAnne <JoAnne.Hill@psc.sc.gov>; Weston Adams <weston.adams@nelsonmullins.com>; Court Walsh <court.walsh@nelsonmullins.com>; Bateman, Andrew <abateman@ors.sc.gov>; Grube-Lybarker, Carri

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2022 March 17 7:48 AM - SCPSC - 2022-93-E - Page 2 of 3

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2022 March 17 7:48 AM - SCPSC - 2022-93-E - Page 3 of 3

<clybarker@scconsumer.gov>; Parrish, Duane <dparrish@scprt.com>; dickmajs@dhec.sc.gov; rigginL@dnr.sc.gov; Hall, Roger <RHall@scconsumer.gov>; martinsv@dhec.sc.gov; Steve Davidson <steve.davidson@nelsonmullins.com>
Subject: PSC Docket Nos. 2022-93-E & 2022-97-E

◄**External Email**► - From: BMustian@ors.sc.gov

Ms. Boyd,

I am writing on behalf of ORS in connection with the above-referenced matters in which SR Lambert I, LLC and SR Lambert II, LLC are seeking Certificates to construct two 100 MW solar facilities in Georgetown County.

ORS and the attorneys for the Applicants have spoken and would like the opportunity to consult with the other parties regarding a proposed testimony and hearing schedule in these proceedings. If the parties are able to so agree, we would present the proposed schedule to the Commission for its consideration. I also understand that the Applicants currently are willing to holding in abeyance, until the merits hearing, their request for approval to begin initial construction activities at the sites.

I would ask the Applicants to confirm my understanding and to advise if I have misstated our discussions or their position.

Assuming the Commission is amenable to this proposal, we will reach out to the other parties of record and will advise the Commission on the status of our discussions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Ben Mustian

Benjamin P. Mustian
Deputy General Counsel, Legal Department
Office of Regulatory Staff

BMUSTIAN@ORS.SC.GOV (803) 737-0898

1401 Main Street, Suite 900
Columbia, SC 29201

The information transmitted is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential, proprietary, and/or privileged material. Any review, transmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking any action in reliance upon this information, by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited. If you received this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from all computers.

Confidentiality Notice
This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, privileged, confidential or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately either by phone (800-237-2000) or reply to this e-mail and delete all copies of this message.