



With regard to the second supplemental provision, ORS recommends that DEC and DEP reduce the System Impact Study completion timeframe, as set forth in Paragraph 17.0 of the System Impact Study Agreement as proposed, for projects with distribution system impacts, by 10%. DEC and DEP also concur with this proposal.

ORS notes that these two recommendations are designed to reduce the backlog in DEC and DEP’s South Carolina interconnection queue and should apply only to DEC and DEP. ORS notes that it will continue to monitor the status of SCE&G’s interconnection queue and make recommendations to the Commission if any changes become necessary.

After having considered these matters, I move that this Commission approve the proposed South Carolina Generator Interconnection Procedures, along with ORS’ recommended supplemental provisions applicable to DEC and DEP. I believe that the Procedures and Supplemental Provisions do provide uniform interconnection standards for projects sized up to 80 megawatts. I also believe that they do allow for expedited treatment for smaller projects and clarify the study process for larger projects that are not eligible for the expedited, fast-track option. I also believe that the Procedures and Supplemental Provisions further the goals of Act 236, and allow for safe and reliable interconnection of distributed energy resources in South Carolina.

In addition, however, I also move that we reserve the right to revisit the language contained in Section 6.12.1 of the Interconnection Procedures, which provides for liability insurance coverage for residential customers in the amount of at least \$100,000 per occurrence. I would note that there is presently a case before this Commission in Docket No. 2015-424-E which concerns an identical section contained in the presently approved Interconnection Standard, that is, Section 4.9. The controversy revolves around the meaning and possible ramifications of the term “\$100,000 per occurrence.” This terminology will be the subject of testimony and a decision of this Commission that may affect our views on the meaning and possible ramifications of the term “\$100,000 per occurrence.” Accordingly, although we would approve the terms of Section 6.12.1 at this time under my motion, I would like to reserve the right to revisit this term under the new Interconnection Procedures after our decision in Docket No. 2015-424-E is rendered.

PRESIDING: Hall

SESSION: Regular

TIME: 2:00 p.m.

	MOTION	YES	NO	OTHER
ELAM	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
FLEMING	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
HALL	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
HAMILTON	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
HOWARD	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
RANDALL	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
WHITFIELD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

(SEAL)

RECORDED BY: J. Schmieding

