

267210  
267211

**Duke, Daphne**

---

**From:** Adams, Hope  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 19, 2016 1:40 PM  
**To:** Duke, Daphne; DeSanty, Tricia  
**Subject:** FW: Docket 2016-334-E  
**Attachments:** RETURN)OPPOSITION 2 ECSC.doc; RETURN)OPPOSITION 2 SCANA.doc

**From:** joe4ocean@aim.com [mailto:joe4ocean@aim.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 18, 2016 4:47 PM  
**To:** PSC\_Contact <Contact@psc.sc.gov>; joe4ocean@aim.com  
**Subject:** Docket 2016-334-E

RECEIVED  
OCT 18 2016  
PSC  
REPLY/ANS

*October 18, 2016*  
*The Honorable*  
*Jocelyn Boyd, Chief Clerk/Administrator*  
*Public Service Commission of South Carolina*  
*RE: Dockets Nos.: 2016-334-E, 2008-196-E*

*Dear Ms. Boyd:*

*Enclosed, please find my*

- 1. RETURN IN OPPOSITION AND OBJECTION OF PETITIONER  
TO

SCANA (NYSE:SCG)'s MOTION

- 2. RETURN IN OPPOSITION AND OBJECTION OF PETITIONER  
TO

ECSC MOTION

**in the referenced dockets.**

*Its printed and signed original is in the USPS first class mail.*

*By copy of this letter I am serving the known me parties of record.*

*Please contact me if you have any questions.*

*Yours truly,*

*Joseph "Joe" Wojcicki - the energy consultant and relator*

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  
OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Docket Nos. 2016-334-E, 2008-196-E

IN RE:	BYPAS INTERNATIONAL Complainant/ Petitioner <p style="text-align: center;">v</p> SCANA (NYSE:SCG)/ South Carolina Electric & Gas Company <p style="text-align: center;">and</p> The Electric Cooperatives of South Carolina, Inc. Defendants/Respondents	RETURN IN OPPOSITION AND OBJECTION OF COMPLAINANT TO MOTION OF THE ELECTRIC COOPERATIVES OF SOUTH CAROLINA, INC. TO DISMISS COMPLAINT OF BYPAS INTERNATIONAL
-----------	---	---

I, Joseph Wojcicki ("messenger / relator of False Claimed Assumption of SC Base Load Review Act (FCA of BLRA) request to entirely dismiss Motion Of The Electric Cooperatives Of South Carolina, Inc. To Dismiss Complaint Of BYPAS International and do not change already set dates that should help SC people and businesses to get back stolen money as well as to end BLRA to be the legal ground for issued millions of shares of SCANA (NYSE:SCG) Corporation and end the breach of SEC rules in the international money market.

In document # 264780 from PSC docket 2016-223-E - ECSC Petition to Intervene - on Page 2 item 3, Mr. Frank R. Ellerbe, III stated:

*"ECSC and Central have a substantial interest in the issues to be considered in this proceeding. The nuclear generating units under construction at Jenkinsville are a joint project of SCE&G and the Public Service Authority of South Carolina ("Santee Cooper"). Under a contract between Central and Santee Cooper, Central and the members of ECSC are responsible for seventy percent of Santee Cooper's capital costs."*

*De facto: "Members of ECSC are NOT responsible for ANY capital costs" created by FCA of BLRA. Now, you abandon them. You are being expected to be in solidarity with us. You are not being expected to be in a Pontius Pilate's washing hands mode.*

As a member and "co owner" of Mid Carolina Electric Cooperative I found that our lawyers did not represented our interest as well as common and SC laws in this SCANA white-collar crimes. We got opposite effects - they seem to get joined SCANA's scandal.

2001-2006 Enron's scandal "idiotic arguments: I know nothing, I see nothing" must not be applied here when millions were robbed since 2008.

In the other side, I have spent thousands of hours and my money to prove False Claim Application of Base Load Review Act (FCA of BLRA), which shall be a part of indicated by False Claim Act relief. Can you see - I have done this work for you?

We are living or working in the distance less than 50 miles from Jenkinsville.

We have financial interest measured in billions of USD.

We were abandon by you in 2008 and obviously now, when SCANA scandal getting more victims than Enron's one created in the past This would be breaching your professional ethics and obligations, right?

The case is presented also in scientific/engineering way, where even licensed lawyers from the SC Bar are laypersons. Another proof of an incompetency is in an ORS failure to answer 2014 challenge.

You have gotten a second chance right now, in this 9<sup>th</sup> attempt to rob peoples, economy of our state and nation. You can be with us or with criminals. *Tertium non datur.*

Sincerely,

Joseph Wojcicki

Columbia Tuesday, October 18, 2016

NOTES of SERVICE:

Above document was delivered by USPS mail to three addresses (ORS, SCANA, ECSC) and to

Public Service Commission of SC by email: <mailto:contact@p:sc.sc.gov>

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  
OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Docket Nos. 2016-334-E, 2008-196-E

IN RE:	BYPAS INTERNATIONAL Complainant/ Petitioner <p style="text-align: center;">v</p> SCANA (NYSE:SCG)/ South Carolina Electric & Gas Company <p style="text-align: center;">and</p> The Electric Cooperatives of South Carolina, Inc. Defendants/Respondents	RETURN IN OPPOSITION AND OBJECTION OF PETITIONER <p style="text-align: center;">TO</p> SCANA (NYSE:SCG)'s MOTION TO DISMISS AND FOR THE IMPOSITION OF SANCTIONS OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT, AND TO HOLD TESTIMONY IN ABEYANCE
-----------	---	---

I, Joseph Wojcicki ("messenger / relator of False Claimed Assumption of SC Base Load Review Act (FCA of BLRA) request to entirely dismiss SCANA's Motion and do not change already set dates that should help SC people and businesses to get back stolen money as well as to end BLRA to be the legal ground for issued millions of shares of SCANA (NYSE:SCG) Corporation and breach SEC rules in the international money market.

Mr. Matthew W. Gissendanner, SCANA's counsel, applies anecdotal Enron's "idiotic strategy = I know nothing I see nothing". NOTICE: He personally created/has written many of the Oppositions (listed in his present Motion) and disrespect a lost by walkover Challenging ORS in 2014. Extending in time SCANA organized crime is against public interest represented by PSC "open and fair" mission. He is making another false claim.

"Plenty of water" is not a scientific proof of "base load nuclear plant"

The word *plenty* applies to listed SCANA's objections/oppositions to Wojcicki's Petitions and must be legal notices for "obstructions of justice" created by this organized white-collar crime. The one, which in docket 2016-223-E, fetched over 1,400 protests.

2001-2006 Enron's scandal "idiotic arguments: I know nothing, I see nothing" must not be applied here where millions were robbed since 2008. Also by ORS.

This case should be closed instantly and stolen money returned with punitive damages.

BLRA cannot be applied for non-base load nuclear power project in Jenkinsville.

Since May 2008 SCANA has not delivered a scientific proof of fulfillment of BLRA definition.

Therefore, all electric rate increases were illegal Period!

Sincerely,

Joseph Wojcicki

Columbia Tuesday, October 18, 2016

**NOTES of SERVICE:**

Above document was delivered by USPS mail to three addresses (ORS, SCANA, ECSC) and to

Public Service Commission of SC by email: <mailto:contact@p:sc.sc.gov>