To: Public Service Commission of South Carolina

From: Sandra Wright, SC Resident, Small Business Owner, SCE&G ratepayer

Re: Docket No 2017-99 in regards to the request for Emergency Hearing Regarding SCE&G's Nuclear Construction Project and Westinghouse Bankruptcy Impacts

In regards to the Request for Emergency Hearing Regarding SCE&G and Westinghouse presented to the PSC by Mr Tom Clements, It is my belief that the questions Mr. Clements brings up, in his request, are very pertinent. There should be more investigation and forthcoming information than has been provided up to this point, to the Public Service Commission and the Public as a whole.

"Concerns raised in 2008 during proceeding before the PSC about potential cost overruns, schedule delays, rate impacts and challenges with the touted 'modular construction' have only grown over time and been repeatedly expressed in subsequent proceedings. Unfortunately, those concerns are now at an all-time high." Tom Clements

I am giving my opinion as a disgruntled ratepayer of SCE&G and as an intervening party in 2015. I feel the questions asked at the time I was before the PSC were not answered in total. Many of the questions were avoided with minimal response. The questions regarding cost overruns and schedule delays were not clear nor complete. The answers were given with no exact amounts nor dates, simply estimates. The "estimates" to this date have been far out of order.

"Since approving the project in February 2009, the Public Service Commission has been a supportive party to the project every step of the way. The PSC has already affirmed 9 (nine) annual Baseload Review Act (BLRA) rate hikes resulting in about 18% of the current SCE&G bill just being for financing costs — and approved various other cost overrun and schedule-delay docket requests by SCE&G. Thus, the PSC is 'all in' and 'owns' the project, come good or bad. The time has come to view the project in a more critical manner that in the past." Tom Clements With this hearing Mr. Clements is requesting, more total answers should be given.

2008-196-E and 2016-223-E

Title 58, Chapter 27, Article 15, Section 58-27-1930 Additional Hearings Notice.

The Commission may, in addition to the hearing specifically provided for by this chapter, conduct such other hearings as may be required in the administration of the powers and duties conferred upon it by this chapter and by other acts relating to electrical utilities. Notice of all such hearing shall be given those interested therein.

The final statement in the above title 58 should mean there would be no Ex Parte Hearing or Briefings without the full knowledge of the ratepayers. The ratepayers have been paying increases with no return on their money. Any hearings or briefings that have to do with this construction should always include the ratepayers. These decisions made by the PSC or the ORS directly relates to the ratepayers.

"The time for the PSC and ORS to stand by and silently watch the devastating situation at the VC Summer project must end. It is time for the PSC and ORS to proactively and fully explore, and not on the terms SCE&G, what is happening. An emergency hearing will be but one way to get to the bottom of this disturbing matter that could have negative financial impacts to the entire state. Other steps beyond and in addition to an hearing will be necessary." Tom Clements

This should mean the ratepayers would be included in any and all decisions made about these nuclear plants from now on, always!

Thank you for your time and consideration in these matters.

Sandra Wright - SCE&G customer
313 N. Stonehedge Dr.
Columbia, SC 29210
843-893-8309 sandrabw22@yahoo.com