Dear Mr. Hajny,

This is to acknowledge receipt of your comments, dated March 24, 2018, regarding Docket No. 2017-305-E.

Your additional Comments will be placed in the Docket listed below and on the Commission’s Website at www.psc.sc.gov.


If we may be of further assistance to you, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

Deborah Easterling
Executive Assistant
Public Service Commission of South Carolina
803-896-5133

Sign up for Meeting Agenda Alerts: Text PSCAGENDAS to 39492

Greetings,

Greetings. The cancelled V C Summer Plant is *neither used nor useful and may not be prudent* and as such it *should not be in the utility’s rate base*:

1. I want back all the money I have already paid into the cancelled V C Summer Plant;
2. I do not want to pay a cent for the plant in the future;
3. SCANA can go bankrupt (business failure should not be condoned by bailing out SCANA);
4. a bankrupt SCANA will show other businesses that SC makes good, tough decisions that are proper;
5. the 1000 or so MW that the V C Summer plant was going to generate will have to be bought on the open power market instead and I will pay for that energy and so why should I pay for the same 1000 MW twice by funding the cancelled Summer Plant;
6. the offer by Dominion Energy means nothing to me, Dominion can go away, the $1000 Dominion promises was coming to SC and me anyways from Toshiba/Westinghouse;
7. utilities going bankrupt pains the stockholders but does not damage the customers (for example, look at PG&E of California, Columbia Gas of Ohio, and Electricaribe of the country of Colombia);
8. the PSC, the Legislature and the Governor should make a decision in favor of the rate payers, walk away from the Base Load Review Act;
9. the stock holders of SCANA and SCE&G should suffer the loss not the rate payers;
10. expect that one way or the other the case will end up in the courts.

Do the right thing, and then let the courts decide.
Thanks and regards,

Michael Hajny