February 9, 2009

Mr. Charles L.A. Terreni
Chief Clerk of the Commission
SC Public Service Commission
P. O. Drawer 11649
Columbia, SC 29211

Memorandum from intervener Maxine M. Warshauer

In response to the following submitted by Pamela Greenlaw in her proposed order:

"c. The Company must develop a panoply of planned programs for DSM (including a net metering program that is based upon Best Practices), efficiency, and conservation with participatory assistance from stakeholders and coalition partners including appropriate governmental agencies, non profit and for profit organizations with deep experience in devising and operating successful DMS, efficiency, and conservation programs. Not only must be these planned programs be fully developed, they must be subjected to public scrutiny and requested to be placed into a separate docket to the Public Service Commission. These planned programs must include plans for aggressive implementation and be subject to strict pre-, post-, and ongoing evaluation as to their effectiveness."

I would like to add the following condition to this proposal:

The company has rightfully recognized that it lacks sufficient expertise to develop a comprehensive Demand Side Management assessment and proposal, as demonstrated by its contract with the consulting firm represented in testimony by Mr. Pickles.

In it's application, the Company dismisses the capability of renewable energy sources in meeting the need for the service area. However, as with DMS, the Company has not demonstrated any in-house expertise in this area, and therefore is not capable of assessing the potential for current practice renewable energy. The Commission should require the Company to hire an outside consulting firm which meets the highest standard of expertise in current practice in this subject to advise both the Commission and the Company on the feasibility of renewable energy for the service area's future needs.

I concur with Ms. Greenlaw, Ms. Thomas, and Friends of the Earth that the application should be denied at this time.

After the DMS and renewable energy studies have been completed, the Company may re-submit an application, taking into account the new information. The Company must also re-submit its projection of need based on the new economic conditions.

Respectfully submitted,

(Meira) Maxine M. Warshauer
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In response to the following submitted by Pamela Greenlaw in her proposed order:

"c. The Company must develop a panoply of planned programs for DSM (including a net metering program that is based upon Best Practices), efficiency, and conservation with participatory assistance from stakeholders and coalition partners including appropriate governmental agencies, non profit and for profit organizations with deep experience in devising and operating successful DMS, efficiency, and conservation programs. Not only must be these planned programs be fully developed, they must be subjected to public scrutiny and requested to be placed into a separate docket to the Public Service Commission. These planned programs must include plans for aggressive implementation and be subject to strict pre-, post-, and ongoing evaluation as to their effectiveness."

I would like to add the following condition to this proposal:

The company has rightfully recognized that it lacks sufficient expertise to develop a comprehensive Demand Side Management assessment and proposal, as demonstrated by its contract with the consulting firm represented in testimony by Mr. Pickles.

In its application, the Company dismisses the capability of renewable energy sources in meeting the need for the service area. However, as with DMS, the Company has not demonstrated any in-house expertise in this area, and therefore is not capable of assessing the potential for current practice renewable energy. The Commission should require the Company to hire an outside consulting firm which meets the highest standard of expertise in current practice in this subject to advise both the Commission and the Company on the feasibility of renewable energy for the service area’s future needs.

I concur with Ms. Greenlaw, Ms. Thomas, and Friends of the Earth that the application should be denied at this time.

After the DMS and renewable energy studies have been completed, the Company may re-submit an application, taking into account the new information. The Company must also re-submit its projection of need based on the new economic conditions.

Respectfully submitted,

(Meira) Maxine M. Warshauer