Dear Mr. Carr:

This is to acknowledge receipt of your Letter of Protest/Comments to the Public Service Commission of South Carolina. Your Letter of Protest/Comments will be placed in the Protest File of the Dockets listed below and on the Commission's Website at www.psc.sc.gov.

- Docket No. 2017-370-E - Joint Application and Petition of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company and Dominion Energy, Inc. for Review and Approval of a Proposed Business Combination between SCANA Corporation and Dominion Energy, Inc., as May Be Required, and for a Prudency Determination Regarding the Abandonment of the V.C. Summer Units 2 & 3 Project and Associated Customer Benefits and Cost Recovery Plans
- Docket No. 2017-207-E - Friends of the Earth and Sierra Club, Complainant/Petitioner v. South Carolina Electric & Gas Company, Defendant/Respondent

A Protestant is an individual objecting on the ground of private or public interest to the approval of an Application, Petition, Motion or other matters which the Commission may have under consideration. A Protestant may offer sworn testimony but cannot cross-examine witnesses offered by other parties.

According to the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, filing a Protest does not make you a Party of Record. A Protestant desiring to become an Intervenor (i.e., a Party of Record) in a proceeding before the Commission may file a Petition for Intervention within the time prescribed by the Commission.

You can follow this Docket and other daily filings made at the Commission by subscribing to the Commission's Email Subscriptions at this link: https://dms.psc.sc.gov/Web/Email.
If we may be of further assistance to you, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

Daphne Duke
Administrative Coordinator
Public Service Commission of South Carolina
August 28, 2018

South Carolina Public Service Commission
Attention Clerk’s Office
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100
Columbia, SC 29210

Re: Docket NOS 2017-370-E, 2017-207-E & 2017-305-E

Dear Commissioners,

It is my personal belief and opinion that in order for Commissioners to be in the position of being able to make fully informed decisions relevant the above referenced matters coming before the Commission they must have reports, hopefully final conclusive reports, relevant on-going investigations. It is my understanding that there are ongoing investigations by the Security and Exchange Commission, Federal Bureau of Investigation, South Carolina Law Enforcement Division and perhaps other investigative bodies relevant the V. C. Summer Nuclear Plant debacle. I would recommend that the referenced docket items be held in abeyance pending the issuance of any such reports or at the least, any actions taken be subject to modification depending on the contents of those reports.

I would expect that if one or more of the investigations concludes that civil and/or criminal fraud was involved such a conclusion would very much influence the Commission’s decision-making process. While one might be able to hold one’s nose and be somewhat forgiving when dealing with incompetence those individuals and business that engage in fraudulent acts, of any kind, deserve not mercy and should be dealt with harshly.

I thank all for taking my thoughts into consideration during your deliberations and am

Very truly,

Walter D. Carr