ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 1 of 65

Richard P. Sedano

President and Chief Executive Officer

Richard Sedano has more than 30 years of experience as one of the energy industry's leading advisors on policy and regulatory matters. Prior to focusing on his role as president and chief executive officer of RAP, Mr. Sedano advised state commissions, elected officials, and other decision-makers, and worked with them in workshop settings on energy efficiency, demand response, renewable energy, pricing, smart grid, transmission and wholesale electric market issues, and general energy policy development.

Mr. Sedano played a crucial role in several high-profile projects that address the "power sector of the future," such as the New York Public Service Commission's *Reforming the Energy Vision* project. He has frequently supported various joint collaboratives of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) and the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC). For the 10 years prior to joining RAP, Mr. Sedano was commissioner of the Vermont Department of Public Service, which represents utility consumers in all regulatory matters and is the state's energy office and consumer advocate. During his tenure as commissioner, he served as chair of the National Association of State Energy Officials (NASEO) and as a member of other national panels representing state interests.
Mr. Sedano started his career with Philadelphia Electric working in electric power generation and in various engineering staff positions at the Vermont Department of Public Service.

Relevant Experience

2017 – present, President and Chief Operating Officer

- He leads RAP's work in the four largest power markets in the world—China, the European Union, India, and the United States—overseeing and mentoring RAP's senior leadership team and the global policy and strategy team.
- In his executive leadership role, he also manages senior personnel matters, development, financial affairs, and relationships with RAP's board of directors.

2001 – 2017, Principal, Regulatory Assistance Project (RAP), Montpelier, VT
1991 – 2001, Commissioner, Vermont Department of Public Service, Montpelier, VT
1987 – 1991, Chief, Engineering Division, Vermont Department of Public Service, Montpelier, VT
1984 – 1987, Electric Power Planning Engineer, Vermont Department of Public Service, Montpelier, VT
1979 – 1984, Electric Production Department, Philadelphia Electric Company, Philadelphia, PA

Education

M.S., engineering management, Drexel University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 1983
B.S., materials engineering, Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, 1979

Awards

National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners' Mary Kilmarx Award, November 2009
National Association of State Energy Officials award for service, September 2011

Professional Affiliations

Board of Directors, Regulatory Assistance Project, ex-officio member
Board of Directors, Northeast Energy Efficiency Partnerships, Co-chair, Northeast Energy Efficiency Partnerships EM&V Interest Group
Member, American Society of Mechanical Engineers

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 2 of 65

About RAP

The Regulatory Assistance Project (RAP)® is an independent, non-partisan, non-advocacy, non-governmental organization dedicated to accelerating the transition to a clean, reliable, and efficient energy future. We aim to transform the power sector with innovative policies that fully value clean energy resources and efficiency. By doing so, we help to limit global climate change by decarbonizing the power sector and electrifying buildings and transportation with clean electricity, thereby powering a healthy and prosperous economic future.

Trusted Expertise for a Fast-Changing, Critical System

We are former utility and environmental regulators, industry executives, system operators, and other policymakers and officials with extensive experience in the power sector. Our team focuses on the world's four largest power markets, responsible for half of all power generation: China, Europe, India, and the United States.

Technological advances and dramatic declines in cost are creating new opportunities to improve the economic efficiency of the power sector, reducing its environmental footprint while improving customer welfare. The rapidly-evolving power sector holds the promise of cleaner and more customer-centric energy use—if policymakers update and adapt to new regulatory paradigms.

RAP's global team has first-hand knowledge of the constraints and challenges policymakers face, and we apply our collective insight to anticipate what they will face in the future. Building on peer-to-peer relationships, RAP helps energy and air quality decision-makers and stakeholders navigate the complexities of power sector policy, regulation, and markets. These policymakers and stakeholders depend on RAP staff for reliable and relevant information and assistance.

Sharing What Works

We craft innovative, practical, and forward-looking policy and market solutions designed to meet local needs and, through our network, can quickly share lessons learned across the globe.

Informed by RAP's global experience, power sector decision-makers and stakeholders have access to a constantly expanding set of adaptable policy tools and market solutions developed and tested around the world.

The Regulatory Assistance Project (RAP)®
Belgium · China · Germany · India · United States

50 State Street, Suite 3
Montpelier, Vermont 05602
USA

raponline.org

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 3 of 65

Theory of Change

For more than two decades, RAP has been a leader in developing solutions to the world's most pressing power sector challenges—waste, harm to the environment and public health, high costs, and inequity.

Our theory of change is, at one level, very simple: **technology drives change, and policy enables technology**. Thus, RAP leverages global policy experience and technical expertise by working with policymakers to change energy sector regulations and market rules to accelerate the deployment of technologies and practices necessary to low-carbon, high-efficiency economies.

At another level, though, our theory is more complex~~omplicated~~. There are five key elements:

1. **Complexity requires trusted expertise:** Power grids are among the most complex machines ever built and they are crucial to the well-being of virtually all households and businesses in modern economies. For these reasons, governments and the public will not embrace major changes without a high degree of confidence that "the lights will stay on" at acceptable cost. RAP provides experienced guidance, technical expertise, and global evidence to facilitate change. Outside political pressure is also important, but we focus on the "inside game" as trusted advisors to decision-makers to complement political activism. We train advocates and other stakeholders as well, to help them develop more informed and effective public strategies.
2. **Regulation provides leverage points for change:** The power sector is a natural monopoly and thus has a tradition of close regulation. Historically, this has led to a very slow pace of change, but regulation and the power of regulators do provide unique leverage points to push change across large industries broadly. RAP's regulatory expertise and our "peer-to-peer" relationships with energy and air regulators are powerful assets to multiply impacts through regulatory venues.
3. **Networks create opportunities across the energy supply chain:** The power grid is a tightly interconnected network, physically linking fuel sources, generators, wires, pipes, and end-user technologies. These linkages create opportunities for change in at least three types of venues: at fuel supply and generation, in transportation and delivery, and at the consumer level. We design policies to take advantage of opportunities in each of these places: (a) with providers and their investors, (b) in power markets; (c) and at end-user locations. We also capitalize on opportunities to multiply impacts by making connections across the supply chain (for example, renewable portfolio standards increase the fraction of renewables available in power systems, while demand response at the customer level makes it easier to integrate renewables into system operations).
4. **Leading practices and new ideas can create new opportunities:** Modern electric sectors were created in a world that favored centralized capital additions, excess capacity, and low average costs, and undervalued environmental impacts.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 4 of 65

We now live in a world that requires low emissions, is marked by low marginal costs, and offers new opportunities through distributed generation, information management, and consumer choice. In this changing environment, new regulatory ideas and business models are essential, and proven successes can be very influential. RAP serves as an accelerator for change, both by developing new ideas, and by transporting leading successes to venues across the globe.

5. **Creative, context-specific solutions are necessary:** There are no one-size-fits-all solutions that work across all regions. Each region, and each power sector, is unique. RAP takes lessons learned in one jurisdiction and adapts them to meet the particular needs and priorities of other jurisdictions. These efforts require *power sector insights and tools*—and the capacity to acquire and use them—from around the world, combined with intimate knowledge of local conditions. That knowledge is gained through our on-the-ground presence, extensive partner networks, and strong relationships with key decision-makers. Specifically, we: (1) identify opportunities to address environmental, economic, and social concerns through regulation and market rules, (2) develop politically informed policy solutions, (3) test and refine our ideas in the local context, and (4) widely share our learning to influence development within the local region and beyond.

History of Success

RAP's independent, non-partisan, and non-advocacy approach nurtures policy opportunities and we are agile when they arise. Our staff sustains trusted relationships with a wide variety of regulators and other state and federal policymakers, advocates, institutions, and industry allowing us to quietly cultivate champions, anticipate opportunities, and mobilize quickly to provide assistance. In addition to effective policy, our work is marked by a legacy of ongoing collaboration among institutions that often weren't collaborating before our involvement, and advocates that are better-equipped and more effective in addressing their next challenge. We are invested only in outcomes, not credit or control, so we bolster local champions and position them to pursue follow-on chances for change. The selected stories below highlight our recent successes and illuminate how RAP engages with our constituents.

Efficiency Deep Dive in Arkansas Leads to Lasting Progress

Six years ago, RAP coached the Arkansas Public Service Commission in creating the state's first energy efficiency program, which was later upgraded from a "Quick-Start" program (imitated from Texas) to a full-fledged suite of efficiency programs. As a result, Arkansas enjoyed "most improved" status on ACEEE's *State Energy Efficiency Scorecard* in 2014, climbing to 31st from 41th in 2010. The relationship this effort engendered now spans multiple commission chairs across the political spectrum. The current chair, a conservative Republican, is so appreciative of our support that he

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 5 of 65

welcomes all we can offer, and we are currently helping the commission develop ways to animate distributed energy resources through aggregation.

Rhode Island's Ambitious Reform a Model for Others

RAP has advised the Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission through a series of recent proceedings aimed at modernizing its power system—each building on the success of the prior effort. The recommendations that came out of one of these stakeholder processes are now being considered in a major utility rate case, rather than awaiting implementation "someday." The trail-blazing Power Sector Transformation Initiative, focused on grid technology and electrification, embodies RAP's significant influence on the direction of this collaborative process and our role as thought leaders in helping policymakers consider the changing technologies and policies needed to accomplish their goals. We also quietly convened the commission, state energy office, and the consumer advocate (both leadership and staff) to collaborate across offices and engage in strategic energy planning for the state, something few other states do effectively. This is a model we aim to help other states follow. As a small state, Rhode Island's ambitious agenda can be replicated by any state interested in similar policy coherence.

New York REVs Up Transformation

Our long-standing relationships with staff at both the New York Public Service Commission and Department of Public Service allowed RAP intimate access to the people and process designing the state's Reforming the Energy Vision (REV) initiative. Since the launch of this ground-breaking effort, RAP has advised both staff and commissioners on a range of issues, including performance-based regulation, rate design, distribution planning, and the process itself. We also helped to define the role of the utility as a platform provider—an important foundational aspect to REV that will enable and promote energy commerce and a transactive energy model for other states. RAP's role as trusted advisor continued even after the chair of the commission left. REV continues to be both an opportunity for positive outcomes in New York and an important testing ground for new ideas and policies that RAP can bring to our work in other states. RAP partnered closely with the Rocky Mountain Institute in this effort, which the initial REV orders acknowledge with a note of appreciation to both.

California Relies on RAP to Fill Critical Gaps

RAP has advised the Public Utilities Commission on a series of efforts aimed at meeting the state's goal of reducing greenhouse gases 40 percent below 1990 levels by 2030. These include three interrelated policy-driven proceedings that will allow distributed energy resources to contribute to meeting California's carbon reduction goals. RAP made direct contributions to several proceedings that address distribution system hosting capacity, distributed energy resource valuation, electricity integrated resource planning, and rate design for commercial and industrial customers. In each of these efforts, we

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 6 of 65

leveraged our combination of hands-on experience and our trusted advisor relationship to help commission staff successfully address the immediate needs of the specific proceeding, while accounting for the larger policy aims and interplay among the different efforts. Like many commissions, the California commission determined that RAP's in-house technical assistance and strategic guidance could be a vital source of experience and expertise on these complex emerging issues. California is well-ahead of many states, so RAP can apply ideas and lessons learned to other states as they ramp up their own power sector transformation efforts.

Thought Leadership Ahead of the Curve

From green pricing to decoupling and "teaching the duck to fly" to beneficial electrification, RAP consistently identifies and shapes key issues affecting the power sector. We anticipate and dive deep well before the rest of the industry is contemplating these issues. This allows us the time needed to fully understand and synthesize the issue, and craft creative, sustainable solutions. Our *Smart Rate Design for a Smart Future* paper is one of our many efforts that exemplify this approach.

In 2013, we could see that states would quickly outgrow existing net-metering policies as prices for solar continued to drop and uptake rates increased. We needed a long-term rate design solution that could account not just for solar, but electric vehicles and the many other yet-to-be-imagined energy solutions on the horizon. Over the next 18 months, our rate design experts interviewed stakeholders across the industry, brainstormed potential solutions, and tested ideas against different potential scenarios. The resulting paper, *Smart Rate Design for a Smart Future,* lays out rate design principles that ensure sufficient utility revenues to maintain a reliable grid; address equity issues so that those who do not have solar PV or an electric vehicle don't subsidize those who do; and, send appropriate price signals to customers to invest and to engage in customer-side energy solutions.

Since publication in 2015, we have socialized this concept through webinars, countless presentations, trainings for advocates, spin-off policy briefs, and through our technical assistance to states. Our rate design framework has changed the conversation and influenced decisions in some states to reject high fixed charges and special charges targeted only at distributed generation customers. We have done so without alienating utilities or commissioners who rightly question the long-term sustainability of full-retail-rate net energy metering tariffs.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 7 of 65

Kenneth A. Colburn

Principal, Director of U.S. Program

Kenneth (Ken) A. Colburn directs RAP's U.S. program. An experienced environmental regulator and seasoned expert, he advises energy and environmental regulators on air quality and climate-related issues, as well as on opportunities associated with regulatory reform, beneficial electrification, energy efficiency, renewable energy, distributed energy resources, multi-pollutant emission reductions, and the juxtaposition of economic and environmental opportunity. He has led state and regional air quality agencies, managed climate change planning efforts in several states, and represented U.S. states at meetings of the U.N. Framework Convention on Climate Change and in other national and international venues.

Relevant Experience

2017 – Present, Principal and U.S. Director, Regulatory Assistance Project (RAP), Montpelier, VT
2011 – 2017, Principal, Regulatory Assistance Project (RAP), Montpelier, VT
As air quality and climate policy have become increasingly intertwined with energy policy, Mr. Colburn has led efforts to explicitly integrate regulatory treatment of the two disciplines, providing conceptualization and context, coupled with practical, operational approaches to recognize the emissions and other benefits of energy efficiency and clean renewable energy resources. As Director of RAP's U.S. Program, Mr. Colburn directs all program activities and publications, and oversees staff and additional senior advisors and consultants.

2005 – Present, Principal, Symbiotic Strategies, LLC, Meredith, NH
Symbiotic Strategies, Mr. Colburn's consultancy, served clients including states developing climate/energy mitigation and adaptation plans, progressive businesses, electric utilities, major foundations, and non-governmental organizations.

2002 – 2005, Executive Director, Northeast States for Coordinated Air Use Management (NESCAUM), Boston, MA
Under Mr. Colburn's direction, NESCAUM conceived and funded a comprehensive modelling approach integrating air quality, energy, economic impacts, and public health in order to improve public policy effectiveness.

1995 – 2002, Director, Air Resources Division, New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services, Concord, NH
Mr. Colburn oversaw air quality regulation for the State of New Hampshire, including permitting, monitoring, mobile sources, technical services, and compliance and enforcement. He led major initiatives identifying the causes of regional ozone non-attainment, determining the extent of air pollution transported across state borders, and adopting the first emissions registry and multi-pollutant emissions reduction legislation (including carbon dioxide) in the U.S.

Education

M.B.A., University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH, 1985
M.Ed., University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH, 1980
B.S., mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge MA, 1974

Professional Affiliations

Board of Directors, New Hampshire Electric Cooperative
Board of Directors, National Rural Electric Cooperative Association (NRECA)
Board of Directors, Earthworks

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 8 of 65

PAUL L. CHERNICK

Resource Insight, Inc.
5 Water Street
Arlington, Massachusetts 02476

SUMMARY OF PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

1986–Present **President, Resource Insight, Inc.** Consults and testifies in utility and insurance economics. Reviews utility supply-planning processes and outcomes: assesses prudence of prior power planning investment decisions, identifies excess generating capacity, analyzes effects of power-pool-pricing rules on equity and utility incentives. Reviews electric-utility rate design. Estimates magnitude and cost of future load growth. Designs and evaluates conservation programs for electric, natural-gas, and water utilities, including hook-up charges and conservation cost recovery mechanisms. Determines avoided costs due to cogenerators. Evaluates cogeneration rate risk. Negotiates cogeneration contracts. Reviews management and pricing of district heating systems. Determines fair profit margins for automobile and workers' compensation insurance lines, incorporating reward for risk, return on investments, and tax effects. Determines profitability of transportation services. Advises regulatory commissions in least-cost planning, rate design, and cost allocation.

1981–86 **Research Associate, Analysis and Inference, Inc.** (Consultant, 1980–81). Researched, advised, and testified in various aspects of utility and insurance regulation. Designed self-insurance pool for nuclear decommissioning; estimated probability and cost of insurable events, and rate levels; assessed alternative rate designs. Projected nuclear power plant construction, operation, and decommissioning costs. Assessed reasonableness of earlier estimates of nuclear power plant construction schedules and costs. Reviewed prudence of utility construction decisions. Consulted on utility rate-design issues, including small-power-producer rates; retail natural-gas rates; public-agency electric rates, and comprehensive electric-rate design for a regional power agency. Developed electricity cost allocations between customer classes. Reviewed district-heating-system efficiency. Proposed power-plant performance standards. Analyzed auto-insurance profit requirements. Designed utility-financed, decentralized conservation program. Analyzed cost-effectiveness of transmission lines.

1977–81 **Utility Rate Analyst, Massachusetts Attorney General.** Analyzed utility filings and prepared alternative proposals. Participated in rate negotiations, discovery, cross-examination, and briefing. Provided extensive expert testimony before various regulatory agencies. Topics included demand forecasting, rate design, marginal costs, time-of-use rates, reliability issues, power-pool operations, nuclear-power cost projections, power-plant cost-benefit analysis, energy conservation, and alternative-energy development.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 9 of 65

EDUCATION

SM, Technology and Policy Program, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, February 1978.

SB, Civil Engineering Department, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, June 1974.

HONORS

Chi Epsilon (Civil Engineering)

Tau Beta Pi (Engineering)

Sigma Xi (Research)

Institute Award, Institute of Public Utilities, 1981.

PUBLICATIONS

"Price Effects as a Benefit of Energy-Efficiency Programs" (with John Plunkett), *2014 ACEEE Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Buildings* (5) 57–5-69. 2014.

"Environmental Regulation in the Changing Electric-Utility Industry" (with Rachel Brailove), *International Association for Energy Economics Seventeenth Annual North American Conference* (96–105). Cleveland, Ohio: USAEE. 1996.

"The Price is Right: Restructuring Gain from Market Valuation of Utility Generating Assets" (with Jonathan Wallach), *International Association for Energy Economics Seventeenth Annual North American Conference* (345–352). Cleveland, Ohio: USAEE. 1996.

"The Future of Utility Resource Planning: Delivering Energy Efficiency through Distributed Utilities" (with Jonathan Wallach), *International Association for Energy Economics Seventeenth Annual North American Conference* (460–469). Cleveland, Ohio: USAEE. 1996.

"The Future of Utility Resource Planning: Delivering Energy Efficiency through Distribution Utilities" (with Jonathan Wallach), *1996 Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Buildings,* Washington: American Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy 7(7.47–7.55). 1996.

"The Allocation of DSM Costs to Rate Classes," *Proceedings of the Fifth National Conference on Integrated Resource Planning.* Washington: National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners. May 1994.

"Environmental Externalities: Highways and Byways" (with Bruce Biewald and William Steinhurst), *Proceedings of the Fifth National Conference on Integrated Resource Planning.* Washington: National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners. May 1994.

"The Transfer Loss is All Transfer, No Loss" (with Jonathan Wallach), The *Electricity Journal* 6:6 (July 1993).

"Benefit-Cost Ratios Ignore Interclass Equity" (with others), *DSM Quarterly*, Spring 1992.

"ESCos or Utility Programs: Which Are More Likely to Succeed?" (with Sabrina Birner), *The Electricity Journal* 5:2, March 1992.

"Determining the Marginal Value of Greenhouse Gas Emissions" (with Jill Schoenberg), *Energy Developments in the 1990s: Challenges Facing Global/Pacific Markets, Vol. II*, July 1991.

"Monetizing Environmental Externalities for Inclusion in Demand-Side Management Programs" (with Emily Caverhill), *Proceedings from the Demand-Side Management and the Global Environment Conference*, April 1991.

"Accounting for Externalities" (with Emily Caverhill). *Public Utilities Fortnightly* 127(5), March 1 1991.

"Methods of Valuing Environmental Externalities" (with Emily Caverhill), *The Electricity Journal* 4(2), March 1991.

"The Valuation of Environmental Externalities in Energy Conservation Planning" (with Emily Caverhill), *Energy Efficiency and the Environment: Forging the Link.* American Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy; Washington: 1991.

"The Valuation of Environmental Externalities in Utility Regulation" (with Emily Caverhill), *External Environmental Costs of Electric Power: Analysis and Internalization.* Springer-Verlag; Berlin: 1991.

"Analysis of Residential Fuel Switching as an Electric Conservation Option" (with Eric Espenhorst and Ian Goodman), *Gas Energy Review*, December 1990.

"Externalities and Your Electric Bill," *The Electricity Journal*, October 1990, p. 64.

"Monetizing Externalities in Utility Regulations: The Role of Control Costs" (with Emily Caverhill) *Proceedings from the NARUC National Conference on Environmental Externalities*, October 1990.

"Monetizing Environmental Externalities in Utility Planning" (with Emily Caverhill), in *Proceedings from the NARUC Biennial Regulatory Information Conference*, September 1990.

"Analysis of Residential Fuel Switching as an Electric Conservation Option" (with Eric Espenhorst and Ian Goodman), in *Proceedings from the NARUC Biennial Regulatory Information Conference*, September 1990.

"A Utility Planner's Checklist for Least-Cost Efficiency Investment" (with John Plunkett) in *Proceedings from the NARUC Biennial Regulatory Information Conference*, September 1990.

Environmental Costs of Electricity (with Richard Ottinger et al.). Oceana; Dobbs Ferry, New York: September 1990.

"Demand-Side Bidding: A Viable Least-Cost Resource Strategy" (with John Plunkett and Jonathan Wallach), in *Proceedings from the NARUC Biennial Regulatory Information Conference*, September 1990.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 10 of 65

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 11 of 65

"Incorporating Environmental Externalities in Evaluation of District Heating Options" (with Emily Caverhill), *Proceedings from the International District Heating and Cooling Association 81st Annual Conference*, June 1990.

"A Utility Planner's Checklist for Least-Cost Efficiency Investment," (with John Plunkett), *Proceedings from the Canadian Electrical Association Demand-Side Management Conference*, June 1990.

"Incorporating Environmental Externalities in Utility Planning" (with Emily Caverhill), *Canadian Electrical Association Demand Side Management Conference*, May 1990.

"Is Least-Cost Planning for Gas Utilities the Same as Least-Cost Planning for Electric Utilities?" in *Proceedings of the NARUC Second Annual Conference on Least-Cost Planning*, September 10–13 1989.

"Conservation and Cost-Benefit Issues Involved in Least-Cost Planning for Gas Utilities," in *Least Cost Planning and Gas Utilities: Balancing Theories with Realities*, Seminar proceedings from the District of Columbia Natural Gas Seminar, May 23 1989.

"The Role of Revenue Losses in Evaluating Demand-Side Resources: An Economic Re-Appraisal" (with John Plunkett), *Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Buildings, 1988*, American Council for an Energy Efficient Economy, 1988.

"Quantifying the Economic Benefits of Risk Reduction: Solar Energy Supply Versus Fossil Fuels," in *Proceedings of the 1988 Annual Meeting of the American Solar Energy Society*, American Solar Energy Society, Inc., 1988, pp. 553–557.

"Capital Minimization: Salvation or Suicide?," in I. C. Bupp, ed., *The New Electric Power Business*, Cambridge Energy Research Associates, 1987, pp. 63–72.

"The Relevance of Regulatory Review of Utility Planning Prudence in Major Power Supply Decisions," in *Current Issues Challenging the Regulatory Process*, Center for Public Utilities, Albuquerque, New Mexico, April 1987, pp. 36–42.

"Power Plant Phase-In Methodologies: Alternatives to Rate Shock," in *Proceedings of the Fifth NARUC Biennial Regulatory Information Conference*, National Regulatory Research Institute, Columbus, Ohio, September 1986, pp. 547–562.

"Assessing Conservation Program Cost-Effectiveness: Participants, Non-participants, and the Utility System" (with A. Bachman), *Proceedings of the Fifth NARUC Biennial Regulatory Information Conference*, National Regulatory Research Institute, Columbus, Ohio, September 1986, pp. 2093–2110.

"Forensic Economics and Statistics: An Introduction to the Current State of the Art" (with Eden, P., Fairley, W., Aller, C., Vencill, C., and Meyer, M.), *The Practical Lawyer*, June 1 1985, pp. 25–36.

"Power Plant Performance Standards: Some Introductory Principles," *Public Utilities Fortnightly*, April 18 1985, pp. 29–33.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 12 of 65

"Opening the Utility Market to Conservation: A Competitive Approach," *Energy Industries in Transition, 1985–2000*, Proceedings of the Sixth Annual North American Meeting of the International Association of Energy Economists, San Francisco, California, November 1984, pp. 1133–1145.

"Insurance Market Assessment of Technological Risks" (with Meyer, M., and Fairley, W) *Risk Analysis in the Private Sector*, pp. 401–416, Plenum Press, New York 1985.

"Revenue Stability Target Ratemaking," *Public Utilities Fortnightly*, February 17 1983, pp. 35–39.

"Capacity/Energy Classifications and Allocations for Generation and Transmission Plant" (with M. Meyer), *Award Papers in Public Utility Economics and Regulation*, Institute for Public Utilities, Michigan State University 1982.

Design, Costs and Acceptability of an Electric Utility Self-Insurance Pool for Assuring the Adequacy of Funds for Nuclear Power Plant Decommissioning Expense, (with Fairley, W., Meyer, M., and Scharff, L.) (NUREG/CR-2370), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, December 1981.

Optimal Pricing for Peak Loads and Joint Production: Theory and Applications to Diverse Conditions (Report 77-1), Technology and Policy Program, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, September 1977.

REPORTS

"Review of NS Power Compliance Filing on its Proposed AMI Opt-Out Charge" (with Benjamin Griffiths). October 26, 2018. Filed by the Nova Scotia Consumer Advocate in N.S. UARB Matter No. M08349.

"Avoided Energy Supply Costs in New England: 2018 Report" (with Pat Knight, Max Chang, David White, Benjamin Griffiths, Les Deman, John Rosenkranz, Jason Gifford, and others). March 30, 2018. Cambridge, Mass.: Synapse Energy Economics.

"Review of the NS Power Application for Approval of its 2017 Annually Adjusted Rates and Load Following Setting Methodology" (with Stacia Harper). August 2017. Filed by the Nova Scotia Consumer Advocate in N.S. UARB Matter No. M08114.

"Charge Without a Cause? Assessing Electric Utility Demand Charges on Small Consumers" (with John T. Colgan, Rick Gilliam, Douglas Jester and Mark LeBel). Electricity Rate Design Review No. 1, July 2016.

"Implications of the Proposed Clean Power Plan for Arkansas: Review of Stakeholder Concerns and Assessment of Feasibility." 2014. Report to Arkansas Audubon, Arkansas Public Policy Panel, and Arkansas Sierra Club.

"Comments on Nova Scotia Power Inc.'s Proposed Capital Expenditure Justification Criteria." 2013. Filed by the Nova Scotia Consumer Advocate in N.S. UARB Matter No. 05355.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 13 of 65

"Avoided Energy Supply Costs in New England: 2013 Report" (with Rick Hornby, David White, John Rosenkranz, Ron Denhardt, Elizabeth Stanton, Jason Gifford, Bob Grace, Max Chang, Patrick Luckow, Thomas Vitolo, Patrick Knight, Ben Griffiths, and Bruce Biewald). 2013. Northborough, Mass.: Avoided-Energy-Supply-Component Study Group, c/o National Grid Company.

"Affordability of Pollution Control on the Apache Coal Units: Review of Arizona Electric Power Cooperative's Comments on Behalf of the Sierra Club" (with Ben Griffiths). 2012. Filed as part of comments in Docket EPA-R09-OAR-2012-0021 by National Parks Conservation Association, Sierra Club, et al.

"Audubon Arkansas Comments on Entergy's 2012 IRP." 2012. Prepared for and filed by Audubon Arkansas in Arkansas PUC Docket No. 07-016-U.

"Economic Benefits from Early Retirement of Reid Gardner" (with Jonathan Wallach). 2012. Prepared for and filed by the Sierra Club in PUC of Nevada Docket No. 11-08019.

"Analysis of Via Verde Need and Economics." 2012. Appendix V-4 of public comments of the Sierra Club et al. in response to November 30 2011 draft of U.S. Army Corps of Engineers environmental assessment in Department of the Army Environmental Assessment and Statement of Finding for Permit Application SAJ-2010-02881.

"Comments for The Alliance for Affordable Energy on Staff's 'Proposed Integrated Resource Planning Rules for Electric Utilities in Louisiana.'" 2011. Filed by the Alliance for Affordable Energy in Louisiana PSC Docket R-30021.

"Avoided Energy Supply Costs in New England: 2011 Report" (with Rick Hornby, Carl Swanson, David White, Jason Gifford, Max Chang, Nicole Hughes, Matthew Wittenstein, Rachel Wilson, and Bruce Biewald). 2011. Northborough, Mass.: Avoided-Energy-Supply-Component Study Group, c/o National Grid Company.

"State of Ohio Energy-Efficiency Technical-Reference Manual Including Predetermined Savings Values and Protocols for Determining Energy and Demand Savings" (with others). 2010. Burlington, Vt.: Vermont Energy Investment Corporation.

"Avoided Energy Supply Costs in New England: 2009 Report" (with Rick Hornby, Carl Swanson, David White, Ian Goodman, Bob Grace, Bruce Biewald, Ben Warfield, Jason Gifford, and Max Chang). 2009. Northborough, Mass.: Avoided-Energy-Supply-Component Study Group, c/o National Grid Company.

"Green Resource Portfolios: Development, Integration, and Evaluation" (with Jonathan Wallach and Richard Mazzini). 2008. Report to the Green Energy Coalition presented as evidence in Ont. Energy Board EB 2007-0707.

"Risk Analysis of Procurement Strategies for Residential Standard Offer Service" (with Jonathan Wallach, David White, and Rick Hornby) report to Maryland Office of People's Counsel. 2008. Baltimore: Maryland Office of People's Counsel.

"Avoided Energy Supply Costs in New England: 2007 Final Report" (with Rick Hornby, Carl Swanson, Michael Drunsic, David White, Bruce Biewald, and Jenifer Callay). 2007.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 14 of 65

Northborough, Mass.: Avoided-Energy-Supply-Component Study Group, c/o National Grid Company.

"Integrated Portfolio Management in a Restructured Supply Market" (with Jonathan Wallach, William Steinhurst, Tim Woolf, Anna Sommers, and Kenji Takahashi). 2006. Columbus, Ohio: Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel.

"Natural Gas Efficiency Resource Development Potential in New York" (with Phillip Mosenthal, R. Neal Elliott, Dan York, Chris Neme, and Kevin Petak). 2006. Albany, N.Y.; New York State Energy Research and Development Authority.

"Natural Gas Efficiency Resource Development Potential in Con Edison Service Territory" (with Phillip Mosenthal, Jonathan Kleinman, R. Neal Elliott, Dan York, Chris Neme, and Kevin Petak. 2006. Albany, N.Y.; New York State Energy Research and Development Authority.

"Evaluation and Cost Effectiveness" (principal author), Ch. 14 of "California Evaluation Framework" Prepared for California utilities as required by the California Public Utilities Commission. 2004.

"Energy Plan for the City of New York" (with Jonathan Wallach, Susan Geller, Brian Tracey, Adam Auster, and Peter Lanzalotta). 2003. New York: New York City Economic Development Corporation.

"Updated Avoided Energy Supply Costs for Demand-Side Screening in New England" (with Susan Geller, Bruce Biewald, and David White). 2001. Northborough, Mass.: Avoided-Energy-Supply-Component Study Group, c/o New England Power Supply Company.

"Review and Critique of the Western Division Load-Pocket Study of Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc." (with John Plunkett, Philip Mosenthal, Robert Wichert, and Robert Rose). 1999. White Plains, N.Y.: Pace University School of Law Center for Environmental Studies.

"Avoided Energy Supply Costs for Demand-Side Management in Massachusetts" (with Rachel Brailove, Susan Geller, Bruce Biewald, and David White). 1999. Northborough, Mass.: Avoided-Energy-Supply-Component Study Group, c/o New England Power Supply Company.

"Performance-based Regulation in a Restructured Utility Industry" (with Bruce Biewald, Tim Woolf, Peter Bradford, Susan Geller, and Jerrold Oppenheim). 1997. Washington: NARUC.

"Distributed Integrated-Resource-Planning Guidelines." 1997. Appendix 4 of "The Power to Save: A Plan to Transform Vermont's Energy-Efficiency Markets," submitted to the Vt. PSB in Docket No. 5854. Montpelier: Vermont DPS.

"Restructuring the Electric Utilities of Maryland: Protecting and Advancing Consumer Interests" (with Jonathan Wallach, Susan Geller, John Plunkett, Roger Colton, Peter Bradford, Bruce Biewald, and David Wise). 1997. Baltimore, Maryland: Maryland Office of People's Counsel.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 15 of 65

"Comments of the New Hampshire Office of Consumer Advocate on Restructuring New Hampshire's Electric-Utility Industry" (with Bruce Biewald and Jonathan Wallach). 1996. Concord, N.H.: NH OCA.

"Estimation of Market Value, Stranded Investment, and Restructuring Gains for Major Massachusetts Utilities" (with Susan Geller, Rachel Brailove, Jonathan Wallach, and Adam Auster). 1996. On behalf of the Massachusetts Attorney General (Boston).

From Here to Efficiency: Securing Demand-Management Resources (with Emily Caverhill, James Peters, John Plunkett, and Jonathan Wallach). 1993. 5 vols. Harrisburg, Penn: Pennsylvania Energy Office.

"Analysis Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendations," vol. 1 of "Correcting the Imbalance of Power: Report on Integrated Resource Planning for Ontario Hydro" (with Plunkett, John, and Jonathan Wallach), December 1992.

"Estimation of the Costs Avoided by Potential Demand-Management Activities of Ontario Hydro," December 1992.

"Review of the Elizabethtown Gas Company's 1992 DSM Plan and the Demand-Side Management Rules" (with Jonathan Wallach, John Plunkett, James Peters, Susan Geller, Blair. Hamilton, and Andrew Shapiro). 1992. Report to the New Jersey Department of Public Advocate.

Environmental Externalities Valuation and Ontario Hydro's Resource Planning (with E. Caverhill and R. Brailove), 3 vols.; prepared for the Coalition of Environmental Groups for a Sustainable Energy Future, October 1992.

"Review of Jersey Central Power & Light's 1992 DSM Plan and the Demand-Side Management Rules" (with Jonathan Wallach et al.); Report to the New Jersey Department of Public Advocate, June 1992.

"The AGREA Project Critique of Externality Valuation: A Brief Rebuttal," March 1992.

"The Potential Economic Benefits of Regulatory NO_X Valuation for Clean Air Act Ozone Compliance in Massachusetts," March 1992.

"Initial Review of Ontario Hydro's Demand-Supply Plan Update" (with David Argue et al.), February 1992.

"Report on the Adequacy of Ontario Hydro's Estimates of Externality Costs Associated with Electricity Exports" (with Emily Caverhill), January 1991.

"Comments on the 1991–1992 Annual and Long Range Demand-Side-Management Plans of the Major Electric Utilities," (with John Plunkett et al.), September 1990. Filed in NY PSC Case No. 28223 in re New York utilities' DSM plans.

"Power by Efficiency: An Assessment of Improving Electrical Efficiency to Meet Jamaica's Power Needs," (with Conservation Law Foundation, et al.), June 1990.

"Analysis of Fuel Substitution as an Electric Conservation Option," (with Ian Goodman and Eric Espenhorst), Boston Gas Company, December 22 1989.

"The Development of Consistent Estimates of Avoided Costs for Boston Gas Company, Boston Edison Company, and Massachusetts Electric Company" (with Eric Espenhorst), Boston Gas Company, December 22 1989.

"The Valuation of Externalities from Energy Production, Delivery, and Use: Fall 1989 Update" (with Emily Caverhill), Boston Gas Company, December 22 1989.

"Conservation Potential in the State of Minnesota," (with Ian Goodman) Minnesota Department of Public Service, June 16 1988.

"Review of NEPOOL Performance Incentive Program," Massachusetts Energy Facilities Siting Council, April 12 1988.

"Application of the DPU's Used-and-Useful Standard to Pilgrim 1" (With C. Wills and M. Meyer), Massachusetts Executive Office of Energy Resources, October 1987.

"Constructing a Supply Curve for Conservation: An Initial Examination of Issues and Methods," Massachusetts Energy Facilities Siting Council, June 1985.

"Final Report: Rate Design Analysis," Pacific Northwest Electric Power and Conservation Planning Council, December 18 1981.

PRESENTATIONS

"Rethinking Utility Rate Design—Retail Demand and Energy Charges," Solar Power PV Conference, Boston MA, February 24, 2016.

"Residential Demand Charges - Load Effects, Fairness & Rate Design Implications." Web seminar sponsored by the NixTheFix Forum. September 2015.

"The Value of Demand Reduction Induced Price Effects." With Chris Neme. Web seminar sponsored by the Regulatory Assistance Project. March 2015.

"Adding Transmission into New York City: Needs, Benefits, and Obstacles." Presentation to FERC and the New York ISO on behalf of the City of New York. October 2004.

"Plugging Into a Municipal Light Plant." With Peter Enrich and Ken Barna. Panel presentation as part of the 2004 Annual Meeting of the Massachusetts Municipal Association. January 2004.

"Distributed Utility Planning." With Steve Litkovitz. Presentation to the Vermont Distributed-Utility-Planning Collaborative. November 1999.

"The Economic and Environmental Benefits of Gas IRP: FERC 636 and Beyond." Presentation as part of the Ohio Office of Energy Efficiency's seminar, "Gas Utility Integrated Resource Planning," April 1994.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 16 of 65

"Cost Recovery and Utility Incentives." Day-long presentation as part of the Demand-Side-Management Training Institute's workshop, "DSM for Public Interest Groups," October 1993.

"Cost Allocation for Utility Ratemaking." With Susan Geller. Day-long workshop for the staff of the Connecticut Department of Public Utility Control, October 1993.

"Comparing and Integrating DSM with Supply." Day-long presentation as part of the Demand-Side-Management Training Institute's workshop, "DSM for Public Interest Groups," October 1993.

"DSM Cost Recovery and Rate Impacts." Presentation as part of "Effective DSM Collaborative Processes," a week-long training session for Ohio DSM advocates sponsored by the Ohio Office of Energy Efficiency, August 1993.

"Cost-Effectiveness Analysis." Presentation as part of "Effective DSM Collaborative Processes," a week-long training session for Ohio DSM advocates sponsored by the Ohio Office of Energy Efficiency, August 1993.

"Environmental Externalities: Current Approaches and Potential Implications for District Heating and Cooling" (with R. Brailove), International District Heating and Cooling Association 84th Annual Conference. June 1993.

"Using the Costs of Required Controls to Incorporate the Costs of Environmental Externalities in Non-Environmental Decision-Making." Presentation at the American Planning Association 1992 National Planning Conference; presentation cosponsored by the Edison Electric Institute. May 1992.

"Cost Recovery and Decoupling" and "The Clean Air Act and Externalities in Utility Resource Planning" panels (session leader), DSM Advocacy Workshop. April 15 1992.

"Overview of Integrated Resources Planning Procedures in South Carolina and Critique of South Carolina Demand Side Management Programs," Energy Planning Workshops; Columbia, S.C. October 21 1991.

"Least Cost Planning and Gas Utilities." Demand-Side Management and the Global Environment Conference; Washington, D.C. April 22 1991.

Conservation Law Foundation Utility Energy Efficiency Advocacy Workshop; Boston, February 28 1991.

"Least-Cost Planning in a Multi-Fuel Context." NARUC Forum on Gas Integrated Resource Planning; Washington, D.C., February 24 1991.

"Accounting for Externalities: Why, Which and How?" Understanding Massachusetts' New Integrated Resource Management Rules. Needham, Massachusetts, November 9 1990.

New England Gas Association Gas Utility Managers' Conference. Woodstock, Vermont, September 10 1990.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 17 of 65

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 18 of 65

"Quantifying and Valuing Environmental Externalities." Presentation at the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory Training Program for Regulatory Staff, sponsored by the U.S. Department of Energy's Least-Cost Utility Planning Program; Berkeley, California, February 2 1990;

"Conservation in the Future of Natural Gas Local Distribution Companies." District of Columbia Natural Gas Seminar; Washington, D.C. May 23 1989.

"Conservation and Load Management for Natural Gas Utilities," Massachusetts Natural Gas Council; Newton, Massachusetts. April 3 1989.

New England Conference of Public Utilities Commissioners, Environmental Externalities Workshop. Portsmouth, New Hampshire, January 22–23 1989.

"Assessment and Valuation of External Environmental Damages." New England Utility Rate Forum. Plymouth, Massachusetts, October 11 1985; "Lessons from Massachusetts on Long Term Rates for QFs".

"Reviewing Utility Supply Plans." Massachusetts Energy Facilities Siting Council; Boston, Massachusetts. May 30 1985.

"Power Plant Performance.," National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates; Williamstown, Massachusetts. August 13 1984.

"Utility Rate Shock," National Conference of State Legislatures; Boston, Massachusetts, August 6 1984.

"Review and Modification of Regulatory and Rate Making Policy," National Governors' Association Working Group on Nuclear Power Cost Overruns; Washington, D.C., June 20 1984.

"Review and Modification of Regulatory and Rate Making Policy," Annual Meeting of the American Association for the Advancement of Science, Session on Monitoring for Risk Management; Detroit, Michigan, May 27 1983.

ADVISORY ASSIGNMENTS TO REGULATORY COMMISSIONS

District of Columbia Public Service Commission, Docket No. 834, Phase II; Least-cost planning procedures and goals. August 1987 to March 1988.

Connecticut Department of Public Utility Control, Docket No. 87-07-01, Phase 2; Rate design and cost allocations. March 1988 to June 1989.

Austin City Council, Austin Energy Rates, March to June 2012.

Puerto Rico Energy Commission, Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, rate design issues, September 2015 to present.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 19 of 65

EXPERT TESTIMONY

1. **Mass. EFSC** 78-12/MDPU 19494, Phase I; Boston Edison 1978 forecast; Massachusetts Attorney General. June 1978.

   Appliance penetration projections, price elasticity, econometric commercial forecast, peak demand forecast. Joint testimony with Susan C. Geller.

2. **Mass. EFSC** 78-17, Northeast Utilities 1978 forecast; Massachusetts Attorney General. September 1978.

   Specification of economic/demographic and industrial models, appliance efficiency, commercial model structure and estimation.

3. **Mass. EFSC** 78-33, Eastern Utilities Associates 1978 forecast; Massachusetts Attorney General. November 1978.

   Household size, appliance efficiency, appliance penetration, price elasticity, commercial forecast, industrial trending, peak demand forecast.

4. **Mass. DPU** 19494, Phase II; Boston Edison Company construction program; Massachusetts Attorney General. April 1979.

   Review of numerous aspects of the 1978 demand forecasts of nine New England electric utilities, constituting 92% of projected regional demand growth, and of the NEPOOL demand forecast. Joint testimony with Susan Geller.

5. **Mass. DPU** 19494, Phase II; Boston Edison Company construction program; Massachusetts Attorney General. April 1979.

   Reliability, capacity planning, capability responsibility allocation, customer generation, co-generation rates, reserve margins, operating reserve allocation. Joint testimony with S. Finger.

6. **U.S. ASLB** NRC 50-471, Pilgrim Unit 2; Commonwealth of Massachusetts. June 1979.

   Review of the Oak Ridge National Laboratory and NEPOOL demand forecast models; cost-effectiveness of oil displacement; nuclear economics. Joint testimony with Susan Geller.

7. **Mass. DPU** 19845, Boston Edison time-of-use-rate case; Massachusetts Attorney General. December 1979.

   Critique of utility marginal cost study and proposed rates; principles of marginal cost principles, cost derivation, and rate design; options for reconciling costs and revenues. Joint testimony with Susan Geller.

8. **Mass. DPU** 20055, petition of Eastern Utilities Associates, New Bedford G. & E., and Fitchburg G. & E. to purchase additional shares of Seabrook Nuclear Plant; Massachusetts Attorney General. January 1980.

Review of demand forecasts of three utilities purchasing Seabrook shares; Seabrook power costs, including construction cost, completion date, capacity factor, O&M expenses, interim replacements, reserves and uncertainties; alternative energy sources, including conservation, cogeneration, rate reform, solar, wood and coal conversion.

9. **Mass. DPU** 20248, petition of Massachusetts Municipal Wholesale Electric Company to purchase additional share of Seabrook Nuclear Plant; Massachusetts Attorney General. June 1980.

   Nuclear power costs; update and extension of MDPU 20055 testimony.

10. **Mass. DPU** 200, Massachusetts Electric Company rate case; Massachusetts Attorney General. June 1980.

    Rate design; declining blocks, promotional rates, alternative energy, demand charges, demand ratchets; conservation: master metering, storage heating, efficiency standards, restricting resistance heating.

11. **Mass. EFSC** 79-33, Eastern Utilities Associates 1979 forecast; Massachusetts Attorney General. July 1980.

    Customer projections, consistency issues, appliance efficiency, new appliance types, commercial specifications, industrial data manipulation and trending, sales and resale.

12. **Mass. DPU** 243, Eastern Edison Company rate case; Massachusetts Attorney General. August 1980.

    Rate design: declining blocks, promotional rates, alternative energy, master metering.

13. **Texas PUC** 3298, Gulf States Utilities rates; East Texas Legal Services. August 1980.

    Inter-class revenue allocations, including production plant in-service, O&M, CWIP, nuclear fuel in progress, amortization of canceled plant residential rate design; interruptible rates; off-peak rates. Joint testimony with M. B. Meyer.

14. **Mass. EFSC** 79-1, Massachusetts Municipal Wholesale Electric Company Forecast; Massachusetts Attorney General. November 1980.

    Cost comparison methodology; nuclear cost estimates; cost of conservation, cogeneration, and solar.

15. **Mass. DPU** 472, recovery of residential conservation-service expenses; Massachusetts Attorney General. December 1980.

    Conservation as an energy source; advantages of per-kWh allocation over per-customer-month allocation.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 20 of 65

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 21 of 65

16. **Mass. DPU** 535; regulations to carry out Section 210 of PURPA; Massachusetts Attorney General. January 1981 and February 1981.

    Filing requirements, certification, qualifying-facility status, extent of coverage, review of contracts; energy rates; capacity rates; extra benefits of qualifying facilities in specific areas; wheeling; standardization of fees and charges.

17. **Mass. EFSC** 80-17, Northeast Utilities 1980 forecast; Massachusetts Attorney General. March 1981.

    Specification process, employment, electric heating promotion and penetration, commercial sales model, industrial model specification, documentation of price forecasts and wholesale forecast.

18. **Mass. DPU** 558, Western Massachusetts Electric Company rate case; Massachusetts Attorney General. May 1981.

    Rate design including declining blocks, marginal cost conservation impacts, and promotional rates. Conservation, including terms and conditions limiting renewable, cogeneration, small power production; scope of current conservation program; efficient insulation levels; additional conservation opportunities.

19. **Mass. DPU** 1048, Boston Edison plant performance standards; Massachusetts Attorney General. May 1982.

    Critique of company approach, data, and statistical analysis; description of comparative and absolute approaches to standard-setting; proposals for standards and reporting requirements.

20. **DC PSC** FC785, Potomac Electric Power rate case; DC Peoples Counsel. July 1982.

    Inter-class revenue allocations, including generation, transmission, and distribution plant classification; fuel and O&M classification; distribution and service allocators. Marginal cost estimation, including losses.

21. **N.H. PSC** DE 81-312, Public Service of New Hampshire supply and demand; Conservation Law Foundation et al. October 1982.

    Conservation program design, ratemaking, and effectiveness. Cost of power from Seabrook nuclear plant, including construction cost and duration, capacity factor, O&M, replacements, insurance, and decommissioning.

22. **Mass. Division of Insurance,** hearing to fix and establish 1983 automobile insurance rates; Massachusetts Attorney General. October 1982.

    Profit margin calculations, including methodology, interest rates, surplus flow, tax flows, tax rates, and risk premium.

23. **Ill. CC** 82-0026, Commonwealth Edison rate case; Illinois Attorney General. October 1982.

Review of Cost-Benefit Analysis for nuclear plant. Nuclear cost parameters (construction cost, O&M, capital additions, useful like, capacity factor), risks, discount rates, evaluation techniques.

24. **N.M. PSC** 1794, Public Service of New Mexico application for certification; New Mexico Attorney General. May 1983.

    Review of Cost-Benefit Analysis for transmission line. Review of electricity price forecast, nuclear capacity factors, load forecast. Critique of company ratemaking proposals; development of alternative ratemaking proposal.

25. **Conn. DPUC** 830301, United Illuminating rate case; Connecticut Consumers Counsel. June 17 1983.

    Cost of Seabrook nuclear power plants, including construction cost and duration, capacity factor, O&M, capital additions, insurance and decommissioning.

26. **Mass. DPU** 1509, Boston Edison plant performance standards; Massachusetts Attorney General. July 15 1983.

    Critique of company approach and statistical analysis; regression model of nuclear capacity factor; proposals for standards and for standard-setting methodologies.

27. **Mass. Division of Insurance,** hearing to fix and establish 1984 automobile-insurance rates; Massachusetts Attorney General. October 1983.

    Profit margin calculations, including methodology, interest rates.

28. **Conn. DPUC** 83-07-15, Connecticut Light and Power rate case; Alloy Foundry. October 3 1983.

    Industrial rate design. Marginal and embedded costs; classification of generation, transmission, and distribution expenses; demand versus energy charges.

29. **Mass. EFSC** 83-24, New England Electric System forecast of electric resources and requirements; Massachusetts Attorney General. November 14 1983, Rebuttal, February 2 1984.

    Need for transmission line. Status of supply plan, especially Seabrook 2. Review of interconnection requirements. Analysis of cost-effectiveness for power transfer, line losses, generation assumptions.

30. **Mich. PSC** U-7775, Detroit Edison Fuel Cost Recovery Plan; Public Interest Research Group in Michigan. February 21 1984.

    Review of proposed performance target for new nuclear power plant. Formulation of alternative proposals.

31. **Mass. DPU** 84-25, Western Massachusetts Electric Company rate case; Massachusetts Attorney General. April 6 1984.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 22 of 65

Need for Millstone 3. Cost of completing and operating unit, cost-effectiveness compared to alternatives, and its effect on rates. Equity and incentive problems created by CWIP. Design of Millstone 3 phase-in proposals to protect ratepayers: limitation of base-rate treatment to fuel savings benefit of unit.

32. **Mass. DPU** 84-49 and 84-50, Fitchburg Gas & Electric financing case; Massachusetts Attorney General. April 13 1984.

    Cost of completing and operating Seabrook nuclear units. Probability of completing Seabrook 2. Recommendations regarding FG&E and MDPU actions with respect to Seabrook.

33. **Mich. PSC** U-7785, Consumers Power fuel-cost-recovery plan; Public Interest Research Group in Michigan. April 16 1984.

    Review of proposed performance targets for two existing and two new nuclear power plants. Formulation of alternative policy.

34. **FERC** ER81-749-000 and ER82-325-000, Montaup Electric rate cases; Massachusetts Attorney General. April 27 1984.

    Prudence of Montaup and Boston Edison in decisions regarding Pilgrim 2 construction: Montaup's decision to participate, the Utilities' failure to review their earlier analyses and assumptions, Montaup's failure to question Edison's decisions, and the utilities' delay in canceling the unit.

35. **Maine PUC** 84-113, Seabrook-1 investigation; Maine Public Advocate. September 13 1984.

    Cost of completing and operating Seabrook Unit 1. Probability of completing Seabrook 1. Comparison of Seabrook to alternatives. Rate effects. Recommendations regarding utility and PUC actions with respect to Seabrook.

36. **Mass. DPU** 84-145, Fitchburg Gas and Electric rate case; Massachusetts Attorney General. November 6 1984.

    Prudence of Fitchburg and Public Service of New Hampshire in decision regarding Seabrook 2 construction: FGE's decision to participate, the utilities' failure to review their earlier analyses and assumptions, FGE's failure to question PSNH's decisions, and utilities' delay in halting construction and canceling the unit. Review of literature, cost and schedule estimate histories, cost-benefit analyses, and financial feasibility.

37. **Penn. PUC** R-842651, Pennsylvania Power and Light rate case; Pennsylvania Consumer Advocate. November 1984.

    Need for Susquehanna 2. Cost of operating unit, power output, cost-effectiveness compared to alternatives, and its effect on rates. Design of phase-in and excess capacity proposals to protect ratepayers: limitation of base-rate treatment to fuel savings benefit of unit.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 23 of 65

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 24 of 65

38. **N.H. PSC** 84-200, Seabrook Unit-1 investigation; New Hampshire Consumer Advocate. November 1984.

    Cost of completing and operating Seabrook Unit 1. Probability of completing Seabrook 1. Comparison of Seabrook to alternatives. Rate and financial effects.

39. **Mass. Division of Insurance,** hearing to fix and establish 1986 automobile insurance rates; Massachusetts Attorney General. November 1984.

    Profit-margin calculations, including methodology and implementation.

40. **Mass. DPU** 84-152, Seabrook Unit 1 investigation; Massachusetts Attorney General. December 1984.

    Cost of completing and operating Seabrook. Probability of completing Seabrook 1. Seabrook capacity factors.

41. **Maine PUC** 84-120; Central Maine Power rate case; Maine PUC Staff. December 1984.

    Prudence of Central Maine Power and Boston Edison in decisions regarding Pilgrim 2 construction: CMP's decision to participate, the utilities' failure to review their earlier analyses and assumptions, CMP's failure to question Edison's decisions, and the utilities' delay in canceling the unit. Prudence of CMP in the planning and investment in Sears Island nuclear and coal plants. Review of literature, cost and schedule estimate histories, cost-benefit analyses, and financial feasibility.

42. **Maine PUC** 84-113, Seabrook 2 investigation; Maine PUC Staff. December 1984.

    Prudence of Maine utilities and Public Service of New Hampshire in decisions regarding Seabrook 2 construction: decisions to participate and to increase ownership share, the utilities' failure to review their earlier analyses and assumptions, failure to question PSNH's decisions, and the utilities' delay in halting construction and canceling the unit. Review of literature, cost and schedule estimate histories, cost-benefit analyses, and financial feasibility.

43. **Mass. DPU** 1627, Massachusetts Municipal Wholesale Electric Company financing case; Massachusetts Executive Office of Energy Resources. January 1985.

    Cost of completing and operating Seabrook nuclear unit 1. Cost of conservation and other alternatives to completing Seabrook. Comparison of Seabrook to alternatives.

44. **Vt. PSB** 4936, Millstone 3 costs and in-service date; Vermont Department of Public Service. January 1985.

    Construction schedule and cost of completing Millstone Unit 3.

45. **Mass. DPU** 84-276, rules governing rates for utility purchases of power from qualifying facilities; Massachusetts Attorney General. March 1985 and October 1985.

Institutional and technological advantages of Qualifying Facilities. Potential for QF development. Goals of QF rate design. Parity with other power sources. Security requirements. Projecting avoided costs. Capacity credits. Pricing options. Line loss corrections.

46. **Mass. DPU** 85-121, investigation of the Reading Municipal Light Department; Wilmington (Mass.) Chamber of Commerce. November 1985.

    Calculation on return on investment for municipal utility. Treatment of depreciation and debt for ratemaking. Geographical discrimination in street-lighting rates. Relative size of voluntary payments to Reading and other towns. Surplus and disinvestment. Revenue allocation.

47. **Mass. Division of Insurance,** hearing to fix and establish 1986 automobile insurance rates; Massachusetts Attorney General and State Rating Bureau. November 1985.

    Profit margin calculations, including methodology, implementation, modeling of investment balances, income, and return to shareholders.

48. **N.M. PSC** 1833, Phase II; El Paso Electric rate case; New Mexico Attorney General. December 1985.

    Nuclear decommissioning fund design. Internal and external funds; risk and return; fund accumulation, recommendations. Interim performance standard for Palo Verde nuclear plant.

49. **Penn. PUC** R-850152, Philadelphia Electric rate case; Utility Users Committee and University of Pennsylvania. January 1986.

    Limerick-1 rate effects. Capacity benefits, fuel savings, operating costs, capacity factors, and net benefits to ratepayers. Design of phase-in proposals.

50. **Mass. DPU** 85-270;, Western Massachusetts Electric rate case; Massachusetts Attorney General. March 1986.

    Prudence of Northeast Utilities in generation planning related to Millstone 3 construction: decisions to start and continue construction, failure to reduce ownership share, failure to pursue alternatives. Review of industry literature, cost and schedule histories, and retrospective cost-benefit analyses.

51. **Penn. PUC** R-850290, Philadelphia Electric auxiliary service rates; Albert Einstein Medical Center, University of Pennsylvania, and Amtrak. March 1986.

    Review of utility proposals for supplementary and backup rates for small power producers and cogenerators. Load diversity, cost of peaking capacity, value of generation, price signals, and incentives. Formulation of alternative supplementary rate.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 25 of 65

52. **N.M. PSC** 2004, Public Service of New Mexico Palo Verde issues; New Mexico Attorney General. May 1986.

Recommendations for power-plant performance standards for Palo Verde nuclear units 1, 2, and 3.

53. **Ill. CC** 86-0325, Iowa-Illinois Gas and Electric Co. rate investigation; Illinois Office of Public Counsel. August 1986.

Determination of excess capacity based on reliability and economic concerns. Identification of specific units associated with excess capacity. Required reserve margins.

54. **N.M. PSC** 2009, El Paso Electric rate moderation program; New Mexico Attorney General. August 1986.

Prudence of EPE in generation planning related to Palo Verde nuclear construction, including failure to reduce ownership share and failure to pursue alternatives. Review of industry literature, cost and schedule histories, and retrospective cost-benefit analyses.

Recommendation for rate-base treatment; proposal of power plant performance standards.

55. **City of Boston Public Improvements Commission,** transfer of Boston Edison district heating steam system to Boston Thermal Corporation; Boston Housing Authority. December 1986.

History and economics of steam system; possible motives of Boston Edison in seeking sale; problems facing Boston Thermal; information and assurances required prior to Commission approval of transfer.

56. **Mass. Division of Insurance,** hearing to fix and establish 1987 automobile insurance rates; Massachusetts Attorney General and State Rating Bureau. December 1986 and January 1987.

Profit margin calculations, including methodology, implementation, derivation of cash flows, installment income, income tax status, and return to shareholders.

57. **Mass. DPU** 87-19, petition for adjudication of development facilitation program; Hull (Mass.) Municipal Light Plant. January 1987.

Estimation of potential load growth; cost of generation, transmission, and distribution additions. Determination of hook-up charges. Development of residential load estimation procedure reflecting appliance ownership, dwelling size.

58. **N.M. PSC** 2004, Public Service of New Mexico nuclear decommissioning fund; New Mexico Attorney General. February 1987.

Decommissioning cost and likely operating life of nuclear plants. Review of utility funding proposal. Development of alternative proposal. Ratemaking treatment.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 26 of 65

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 27 of 65

59. **Mass. DPU** 86-280, Western Massachusetts Electric rate case; Massachusetts Energy Office. March 1987.

    Marginal cost rate design issues. Superiority of long-run marginal cost over short-run marginal cost as basis for rate design. Relationship of Consumer reaction, utility planning process, and regulatory structure to rate design approach. Implementation of short-run and long-run rate designs. Demand versus energy charges, economic development rates, spot pricing.

60. **Mass. Division of Insurance** 87-9, 1987 Workers' Compensation rate filing; State Rating Bureau. May 1987.

    Profit-margin calculations, including methodology, implementation, surplus requirements, investment income, and effects of 1986 Tax Reform Act.

61. **Texas PUC** 6184, economic viability of South Texas Nuclear Plant #2; Committee for Consumer Rate Relief. August 1987.

    Nuclear plant operating parameter projections; capacity factor, O&M, capital additions, decommissioning, useful life. STNP-2 cost and schedule projections. Potential for conservation.

62. **Minn. PUC** ER-015/GR-87-223, Minnesota Power rate case; Minnesota Department of Public Service. August 1987.

    Excess capacity on MP system; historical, current, and projected. Review of MP planning prudence prior to and during excess; efforts to sell capacity. Cost of excess capacity. Recommendations for ratemaking treatment.

63. **Mass. Division of Insurance** 87-27, 1988 automobile insurance rates; Massachusetts Attorney General and State Rating Bureau. September 2 1987. Rebuttal October 1987.

    Underwriting profit margins. Effect of 1986 Tax Reform Act. Biases in calculation of average margins.

64. **Mass. DPU** 88-19, power Sales Contract from Riverside Steam and Electric to Western Massachusetts Electric; Riverside Steam and Electric. November 1987.

    Comparison of risk from QF contract and utility avoided-cost sources. Risk of oil dependence. Discounting cash flows to reflect risk.

65. **Mass. Division of Insurance** 87-53, 1987 Workers' Compensation rate refiling; State Rating Bureau. December 1987.

    Profit-margin calculations including updating of data, compliance with Commissioner's order, treatment of surplus and risk, interest rate calculation, and investment tax rate calculation.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 28 of 65

66. **Mass. Division of Insurance,** 1987 and 1988 automobile insurance remand rates; Massachusetts Attorney General and State Rating Bureau. February 1988.

    Underwriting profit margins. Provisions for income taxes on finance charges. Relationships between allowed and achieved margins, between statewide and nationwide data, and between profit allowances and cost projections.

67. **Mass. DPU** 86-36, investigation into the pricing and ratemaking treatment to be afforded new electric generating facilities which are not qualifying facilities; Conservation Law Foundation. May 1988.

    Cost recovery for utility conservation programs. Compensating for lost revenues. Utility incentive structures.

68. **Mass. DPU** 88-123, petition of Riverside Steam & Electric; Riverside Steam and Electric Company. May 1988 and November 1988.

    Estimation of avoided costs of Western Massachusetts Electric Company. Nuclear capacity factor projections and effects on avoided costs. Avoided cost of energy interchange and power plant life extensions. Differences between median and expected oil prices. Salvage value of cogeneration facility. Off-system energy purchase projections. Reconciliation of avoided cost projection.

69. **Mass. DPU** 88-67, Boston Gas Company; Boston Housing Authority. June 1988.

    Estimation of annual avoidable costs, 1988 to 2005, and levelized avoided costs. Determination of cost recovery and carrying costs for conservation investments. Standards for assessing conservation cost-effectiveness. Evaluation of cost-effectiveness of utility funding of proposed natural gas conservation measures.

70. **R.I. PUC** 1900, Providence Water Supply Board tariff filing; Conservation Law Foundation, Audubon Society of Rhode Island, and League of Women Voters of Rhode Island. June 1988.

    Estimation of avoidable water supply costs. Determination of costs of water conservation. Conservation cost-benefit analysis.

71. **Mass. Division of Insurance** 88-22, 1989 automobile insurance rates; Massachusetts Attorney General and State Rating Bureau; Profit Issues, August 1988, supplemented August 1988; Losses and Expenses, September 1988.

    Underwriting profit margins. Effects of 1986 Tax Reform Act. Taxation of common stocks. Lag in tax payments. Modeling risk and return over time. Treatment of finance charges. Comparison of projected and achieved investment returns.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 29 of 65

72. **Vt. PSB** 5270 Module 6, investigation into least-cost investments, energy efficiency, conservation, and the management of demand for energy; Conservation Law Foundation, Vermont Natural Resources Council, and Vermont Public Interest Research Group. September 1988.

    Cost recovery for utility conservation programs. Compensation of utilities for revenue losses and timing differences. Incentive for utility participation.

73. **Vt. House of Representatives, Natural Resources Committee,** House Act 130; "Economic Analysis of Vermont Yankee Retirement"; Vermont Public Interest Research Group. February 1989.

    Projection of capacity factors, operating and maintenance expense, capital additions, overhead, replacement power costs, and net costs of Vermont Yankee.

74. **Mass. DPU** 88-67 Phase II, Boston Gas company conservation program and rate design; Boston Gas Company. March 1989.

    Estimation of avoided gas cost; treatment of non-price factors; estimation of externalities; identification of cost-effective conservation.

75. **Vt. PSB** 5270, status conference on conservation and load management policy settlement; Central Vermont Public Service, Conservation Law Foundation, Vermont Natural Resources Council, Vermont Public Interest Research Group, and Vermont Department of Public Service. May 1989.

    Cost-benefit test for utility conservation programs. Role of externalities. Cost recovery concepts and mechanisms. Resource allocations, cost allocations, and equity considerations. Guidelines for conservation preapproval mechanisms. Incentive mechanisms and recovery of lost revenues.

76. **Boston Housing Authority Court** 05099, Gallivan Boulevard Task Force vs. Boston Housing Authority, et al.; Boston Housing Authority. June 1989.

    Effect of master-metering on consumption of natural gas and electricity. Legislative and regulatory mandates regarding conservation.

77. **Mass. DPU** 89-100, Boston Edison rates; Massachusetts Energy Office. June 1989.

    Prudence of decision to spend $400 million from 1986–88 to return Pilgrim nuclear plant to service. Projections of nuclear capacity factors, O&M, capital additions, and overhead. Review of decommissioning cost, tax effect of abandonment, replacement power cost, and plant useful life estimates. Requirements for prudence and used-and-useful analyses.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 30 of 65

78. **Mass. DPU** 88-123, petition of Riverside Steam and Electric Company; Riverside Steam and Electric. July 1989. Rebuttal, October 1989.

    Reasonableness of Northeast Utilities' 1987 avoided cost estimates. Projections of nuclear capacity factors, economy purchases, and power plant operating life. Treatment of avoidable energy and capacity costs and of off-system sales. Expected versus reference fuel prices.

79. **Mass. DPU** 89-72, Statewide Towing Association police-ordered towing rates; Massachusetts Automobile Rating Bureau. September 1989.

    Review of study supporting proposed increase in towing rates. Critique of study sample and methodology. Comparison to competitive rates. Supply of towing services. Effects of joint products and joint sales on profitability of police-ordered towing. Joint testimony with I. Goodman.

80. **Vt. PSB** 5330, application of Vermont utilities for approval of a firm power and energy contract with Hydro-Quebec; Conservation Law Foundation, Vermont Natural Resources Council, Vermont Public Interest Research Group. December 1989. Surrebuttal February 1990.

    Analysis of a proposed 20-year power purchase. Comparison to efficiency investment. Critique of conservation potential analysis. Analysis of Vermont electric energy supply. Planning risk of large supply additions. Valuation of environmental externalities. Identification of possible improvements to proposed contract.

81. **Mass. DPU** 89-239, inclusion of externalities in energy-supply planning, acquisition, and dispatch for Massachusetts utilities. Boston Gas Company. December 1989; April 1990; May 1990.

    Critique of Division of Energy Resources report on externalities. Methodology for evaluating external costs. Proposed values for environmental and economic externalities of fuel supply and use.

82. **California PUC,** incorporation of environmental externalities in utility planning and pricing; Coalition of Energy Efficient and Renewable Technologies. February 1990.

    Approaches for valuing externalities for inclusion in setting power purchase rates. Effect of uncertainty on assessing externality values.

83. **Ill. CC** 90-0038, proceeding to adopt a least-cost electric-energy plan for Commonwealth Edison Company; City of Chicago. May 25 1990. Joint rebuttal testimony with David Birr, August 1990.

    Problems in Commonwealth Edison's approach to demand-side management. Potential for cost-effective conservation. Valuing externalities in least-cost planning.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 31 of 65

84. **Md. PSC** 8278, adequacy of Baltimore Gas & Electric's integrated resource plan; Maryland Office of People's Counsel. September 1990.

    Rationale for demand-side management. BG&E's problems in approach to DSM planning. Potential for cost-effective conservation. Valuation of environmental externalities. Recommendations for short-term DSM program priorities.

85. **Ind. URC,** integrated-resource-planning docket; Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor. November 1990.

    Integrated resource planning process and methodology, including externalities and screening tools. Incentives, screening, and evaluation of demand-side management. Potential of resource bidding in Indiana.

86. **Mass. DPU** 89-141, 90-73, 90-141, 90-194, 90-270; preliminary review of utility treatment of environmental externalities in October qualifying-facilities filings; Boston Gas Company. November 1990.

    Generic and specific problems in Massachusetts utilities' RFPs with regard to externality valuation requirements. Recommendations for corrections.

87. **Mass. EFSC** 90-12/90-12A, adequacy of Boston Edison proposal to build combined-cycle plant; Conservation Law Foundation. December 1990.

    Problems in Boston Edison's treatment of demand-side management, supply option analysis, and resource planning. Recommendations of mitigation options.

88. **Maine PUC** 90-286, adequacy of conservation program of Bangor Hydro Electric; Penobscot River Coalition. February 1991.

    Role of utility-sponsored DSM in least-cost planning. Bangor Hydro's potential for cost-effective conservation. Problems with Bangor Hydro's assumptions about customer investment in energy efficiency measures.

89. **Va. SCC** PUE900070, commission investigation; Southern Environmental Law Center. March 1991.

    Role of utilities in promoting energy efficiency. Least-cost planning objectives of and resource acquisition guidelines for DSM. Ratemaking considerations for DSM investments.

90. **Mass. DPU** 90-261-A, economics and role of fuel-switching in the DSM program of the Massachusetts Electric Company; Boston Gas Company. April 1991.

    Role of fuel-switching in utility DSM programs and specifically in Massachusetts Electric's. Establishing comparable avoided costs and comparison of electric and gas system costs. Updated externality values.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 32 of 65

91. **Private arbitration,** Massachusetts Refusetech Contractual Request for Adjustment to Service Fee; Massachusetts Refusetech. May 1991.

    NEPCo rates for power purchases from the New England Solid Waste Compact plant. Fuel price and avoided cost projections vs. realities.

92. **Vt. PSB** 5491, cost-effectiveness of Central Vermont's commitment to Hydro Quebec purchases; Conservation Law Foundation. July 1991.

    Changes in load forecasts and resale markets since approval of HQ purchases. Effect of HQ purchase on DSM.

93. **S.C. PSC** 91-216-E, cost recovery of Duke Power's DSM expenditures; South Carolina Department of Consumer Affairs. Direct, September 13 1991; Surrebuttal October 1991.

    Problems with conservation plans of Duke Power, including load building, cream skimming, and inappropriate rate designs.

94. **Md. PSC** 8241 Phase II, review of Baltimore Gas & Electric's avoided costs; Maryland Office of People's Counsel. September 1991.

    Development of direct avoided costs for DSM. Problems with BG&E's avoided costs and DSM screening. Incorporation of environmental externalities.

95. **Bucksport (Maine) Planning Board,** AES/Harriman Cove shoreland zoning application; Conservation Law Foundation and Natural Resources Council of Maine. October 1991.

    New England's power surplus. Costs of bringing AES/Harriman Cove on line to back out existing generation. Alternatives.

96. **Mass. DPU** 91-131, update of externalities values adopted in Docket 89-239; Boston Gas Company. October 1991. Rebuttal, December 1991.

    Updates on pollutant externality values. Addition of values for chlorofluorocarbons, air toxics, thermal pollution, and oil import premium. Review of state regulatory actions regarding externalities.

97. **Fla. PSC** 910759, petition of Florida Power Corporation for determination of need for proposed electrical power plant and related facilities; Floridians for Responsible Utility Growth. October 1991.

    Florida Power's obligation to pursue integrated resource planning and failure to establish need for proposed facility. Methods to increase scope and scale of demand-side investment.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 33 of 65

98. **Fla. PSC** 910833-EI, petition of Tampa Electric Company for a determination of need for proposed electrical power plant and related facilities; Floridians for Responsible Utility Growth. October 1991.

    Obligation to pursue integrated resource planning, failure to establish need for proposed facility. Methods to increase scope and scale of demand-side investment.

99. **Penn. PUC** I-900005, R-901880; investigation into demand-side management by electric utilities; Pennsylvania Energy Office. January 1992.

    Appropriate cost recovery mechanism for Pennsylvania utilities. Purpose and scope of direct cost recovery, lost revenue recovery, and incentives.

100. **S.C. PSC** 91-606-E, petition of South Carolina Electric and Gas for a certificate of public convenience and necessity for a coal-fired plant; South Carolina Department of Consumer Affairs. January 1992.

    Justification of plant certification under integrated resource planning. Failures in SCE&G's DSM planning and company potential for demand-side savings.

101. **Mass. DPU** 92-92, adequacy of Boston Edison's street-lighting options; Town of Lexington. June 1992.

    Efficiency and quality of street-lighting options. Boston Edison's treatment of high-quality street lighting. Corrected rate proposal for the Daylux lamp. Ownership of public street lighting.

102. **S.C. PSC** 92-208-E, integrated-resource plan of Duke Power Company; South Carolina Department of Consumer Affairs. August 1992.

    Problems with Duke Power's DSM screening process, estimation of avoided cost, DSM program design, and integration of demand-side and supply-side planning.

103. **N.C. UC** E-100 Sub 64, integrated-resource-planning docket; Southern Environmental Law Center. September 1992.

    General principles of integrated resource planning, DSM screening, and program design. Review of the IRPs of Duke Power Company, Carolina Power & Light Company, and North Carolina Power.

104. **Ont. EAB** Ontario Hydro Demand/Supply Plan Hearings, *Environmental Externalities Valuation and Ontario Hydro's Resource Planning* (3 vols.); Coalition of Environmental Groups. October 1992.

    Valuation of environmental externalities from fossil fuel combustion and the nuclear fuel cycle. Application to Ontario Hydro's supply and demand planning.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 34 of 65

105. **Texas PUC** 110000, application of Houston Lighting and Power company for a certificate of convenience and necessity for the DuPont Project; Destec Energy, Inc. September 1992.

Valuation of environmental externalities from fossil fuel combustion and the application to the evaluation of proposed cogeneration facility.

106. **Maine BEP,** in the matter of the Basin Mills Hydroelectric Project application; Conservation Intervenors. November 1992.

Economic and environmental effects of generation by proposed hydro-electric project.

107. **Md. PSC** 8473, review of the power sales agreement of Baltimore Gas and Electric with AES Northside; Maryland Office of People's Counsel. November 1992.

Non-price scoring and unquantified benefits; DSM potential as alternative; environmental costs; cost and benefit estimates.

108. **N.C. UC** E-100 Sub 64, analysis and investigation of least cost integrated resource planning in North Carolina; Southern Environmental Law Center. November 1992.

Demand-side management cost recovery and incentive mechanisms.

109. **S.C. PSC** 92-209-E, in re Carolina Power & Light Company; South Carolina Department of Consumer Affairs. November 1992.

Demand-side-management planning: objectives, process, cost-effectiveness test, comprehensiveness, lost opportunities. Deficiencies in CP&L's portfolio. Need for economic evaluation of load building.

110 **Fla. DER** hearings on the Power Plant Siting Act; Legal Environmental Assistance Foundation. December 1992.

Externality valuation and application in power-plant siting. DSM potential, cost-benefit test, and program designs.

111. **Md. PSC** 8487, Baltimore Gas and Electric Company electric rate case. Direct January 1993; rebuttal February 1993.

Class allocation of production plant and O&M; transmission, distribution, and general plant; administrative and general expenses. Marginal cost and rate design.

112. **Md. PSC** 8179, Approval of amendment to Potomac Edison purchase agreement with AES Warrior Run; Maryland Office of People's Counsel. January 29 1993.

Economic analysis of proposed coal-fired cogeneration facility.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 35 of 65

113. **Mich. PSC** U-10102, Detroit Edison rate case; Michigan United Conservation Clubs. February 17 1993.

Least-cost planning; energy efficiency planning, potential, screening, avoided costs, cost recovery, and shareholder incentives.

114. **Ohio PUC** 91-635-EL-FOR, 92-312-EL-FOR, 92-1172-EL-ECP; Cincinnati Gas and Electric demand-management programs; City of Cincinnati. April 1993.

Demand-side-management planning, program designs, potential savings, and avoided costs.

115. **Mich. PSC** U-10335, Consumers Power rate case; Michigan United Conservation Clubs. October 1993.

Least-cost planning; energy efficiency planning, potential, screening, avoided costs, cost recovery, and shareholder incentives.

116. **Ill. CC** 92-0268, electric-energy plan for Commonwealth Edison; City of Chicago. Direct, February 1 1994; rebuttal, September 1994.

Cost-effectiveness screening of demand-side management programs and measures; estimates by Commonwealth Edison of costs avoided by DSM and of future cost, capacity, and performance of supply resources.

117. **FERC** 2422 et al., application of James River–New Hampshire Electric, Public Service of New Hampshire, for licensing of hydro power; Conservation Law Foundation; 1993.

Cost-effective energy conservation available to the Public Service of New Hampshire; power-supply options; affidavit.

118. **Vt. PSB** 5270-CV-1,-3, and 5686; Central Vermont Public Service fuel-switching and DSM program design, on behalf of the Vermont Department of Public Service. Direct, April 1994; rebuttal, June 1994.

Avoided costs and screening of controlled water-heating measures; risk, rate impacts, participant costs, externalities, space- and water-heating load, benefit-cost tests.

119. **Fla. PSC** 930548-EG–930551-EG, conservation goals for Florida electric utilities; Legal Environmental Assistance Foundation, Inc. April 1994.

Integrated resource planning, avoided costs, rate impacts, analysis of conservation goals of Florida electric utilities.

120. **Vt. PSB** 5724, Central Vermont Public Service Corporation rate request; Vermont Department of Public Service. Joint surrebuttal testimony with John Plunkett. August 1994.

Costs avoided by DSM programs; Costs and benefits of deferring DSM programs.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 36 of 65

121. **Mass. DPU** 94-49, Boston Edison integrated-resource-management plan; Massachusetts Attorney General. August 1994.

Least-cost planning, modeling, and treatment of risk.

122. **Mich. PSC** U-10554, Consumers Power Company DSM program and incentive; Michigan Conservation Clubs. November 1994.

Critique of proposed reductions in DSM programs; discussion of appropriate measurements of cost-effectiveness, role of DSM in competitive power markets.

123. **Mich. PSC** U-10702, Detroit Edison Company cost recovery, on behalf of the Residential Ratepayers Consortium. December 1994.

Impact of proposed changes to DSM plan on energy costs and power-supply-cost-recovery charges. Critique of proposed DSM changes; discussion of appropriate measurements of cost-effectiveness, role of DSM in competitive power markets.

124. **N.J. BRC** EM92030359, environmental costs of proposed cogeneration; Freehold Cogeneration Associates. November 1994.

Comparison of potential externalities from the Freehold cogeneration project with that from three coal technologies; support for the study "The Externalities of Four Power Plants."

125. **Mich. PSC** U-10671, Detroit Edison Company DSM programs; Michigan United Conservation Clubs. January 1995.

Critique of proposal to scale back DSM efforts in light of potential for competition. Loss of savings, increase of customer costs, and decrease of competitiveness. Discussion of appropriate measurements of cost-effectiveness, role of DSM in competitive power markets.

126. **Mich. PSC** U-10710, power-supply-cost-recovery plan of Consumers Power Company; Residential Ratepayers Consortium. January 1995.

Impact of proposed changes to DSM plan on energy costs and power-supply-cost-recovery charges. Critique of proposed DSM changes; discussion of appropriate measurements of cost-effectiveness, role of DSM in competitive power markets.

127. **FERC** 2458 and 2572, Bowater–Great Northern Paper hydropower licensing; Conservation Law Foundation. February 1995.

Comments on draft environmental impact statement relating to new licenses for two hydropower projects in Maine. Applicant has not adequately considered how energy conservation can replace energy lost due to habitat-protection or -enhancement measures.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 37 of 65

128. **N.C. UC** E-100 Sub 74, Duke Power and Carolina Power & Light avoided costs; Hydro-Electric–Power Producer's Group. February 1995.

Critique and proposed revision of avoided costs offered to small hydro-power producers by Duke Power and Carolina Power and Light.

129. **New Orleans City Council** UD-92-2A and -2B, least-cost IRP for New Orleans Public Service and Louisiana Power & Light; Alliance for Affordable Energy. Direct, February 1995; rebuttal, April 1995.

Critique of proposal to scale back DSM efforts in light of potential competition.

130. **D.C. PSC** FC917 II, prudence of DSM expenditures of Potomac Electric Power Company; Potomac Electric Power Company. Rebuttal testimony, February 1995.

Prudence of utility DSM investment; prudence standards for DSM programs of the Potomac Electric Power Company.

131. **Ont. Energy Board** EBRO 490, DSM cost recovery and lost-revenue–adjustment mechanism for Consumers Gas Company; Green Energy Coalition. April 1995.

Demand-side-management cost recovery. Lost-revenue–adjustment mechanism for Consumers Gas Company.

132. **New Orleans City Council** CD-85-1, New Orleans Public Service rate increase; Alliance for Affordable Energy. Rebuttal, May 1995.

Allocation of costs and benefits to rate classes.

133. **Mass. DPU** Docket DPU-95-40, Mass. Electric cost-allocation; Massachusetts Attorney General. June 1995.

Allocation of costs to rate classes. Critique of cost-of-service study. Implications for industry restructuring.

134. **Md. PSC** 8697, Baltimore Gas & Electric gas rate increase; Maryland Office of People's Counsel. July 1995.

Rate design, cost-of-service study, and revenue allocation.

135. **N.C. UC** E-2 Sub 669. December 1995.

Need for new capacity. Energy-conservation potential and model programs.

136. **Arizona CC** U-1933-95-317, Tucson Electric Power rate increase; Residential Utility Consumer Office. January 1996.

Review of proposed rate settlement. Used-and-usefulness of plant. Rate design. DSM potential.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 38 of 65

137. **Ohio PUC** 95-203-EL-FOR; Campaign for an Energy-Efficient Ohio. February 1996

Long-term forecast of Cincinnati Gas and Electric Company, especially its DSM portfolio. Opportunities for further cost-effective DSM savings. Tests of cost effectiveness. Role of DSM in light of industry restructuring; alternatives to traditional utility DSM.

138 **Vt. PSB** 5835, Central Vermont Public Service Company rates; Vermont Department of Public Service. February 1996.

Design of load-management rates of Central Vermont Public Service Company.

139. **Md. PSC** 8720, Washington Gas Light DSM; Maryland Office of People's Counsel. May 1996.

Avoided costs of Washington Gas Light Company; integrated least-cost planning.

140. **Mass. DPU** 96-100, Massachusetts Utilities' Stranded Costs; Massachusetts Attorney General. Oral testimony in support of "estimation of Market Value, Stranded Investment, and Restructuring Gains for Major Massachusetts Utilities," July 1996.

Stranded costs. Calculation of loss or gain. Valuation of utility assets.

141. **Mass. DPU** 96-70, Essex County Gas Company rates; Massachusetts Attorney General. July 1996.

Market-based allocation of gas-supply costs of Essex County Gas Company.

142. **Mass. DPU** 96-60, Fall River Gas Company rates; Massachusetts Attorney General. Direct, July 1996; surrebuttal, August 1996.

Market-based allocation of gas-supply costs of Fall River Gas Company.

143. **Md. PSC** 8725, Maryland electric-utilities merger; Maryland Office of People's Counsel. July 1996.

Proposed merger of Baltimore Gas & Electric Company, Potomac Electric Power Company, and Constellation Energy. Cost allocation of merger benefits and rate reductions.

144. **N.H. PUC** DR 96-150, Public Service Company of New Hampshire stranded costs; New Hampshire Office of Consumer Advocate. December 1996.

Market price of capacity and energy; value of generation plant; restructuring gain and stranded investment; legal status of PSNH acquisition premium; interim stranded-cost charges.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 39 of 65

145. **Ont. Energy Board** EBRO 495, LRAM and shared-savings incentive for DSM performance of Consumers Gas; Green Energy Coalition. March 1997.

LRAM and incentive mechanisms in rates for the Consumers Gas Company.

146. **New York PSC** 96-E-0897, Consolidated Edison restructuring plan; City of New York. April 1997.

Electric-utility competition and restructuring; critique of proposed settlement of Consolidated Edison Company; stranded costs; market power; rates; market access.

147. **Vt. PSB** 5980, proposed statewide energy plan; Vermont Department of Public Service. Direct, August 1997; rebuttal, December 1997.

Justification for and estimation of statewide avoided costs; guidelines for distributed IRP.

148. **Mass. DPU** 96-23, Boston Edison restructuring settlement; Utility Workers Union of America. September 1997.

Performance incentives proposed for the Boston Edison company.

149. **Vt. PSB** 5983, Green Mountain Power rate increase; Vermont Department of Public Service. Direct, October 1997; rebuttal, December 1997.

In three separate pieces of prefiled testimony, addressed the Green Mountain Power Corporation's (1) distributed-utility-planning efforts, (2) avoided costs, and (3) prudence of decisions relating to a power purchase from Hydro-Quebec.

150. **Mass. DPU** 97-63, Boston Edison proposed reorganization; Utility Workers Union of America. October 1997.

Increased costs and risks to ratepayers and shareholders from proposed reorganization; risks of diversification; diversion of capital from regulated to unregulated affiliates; reduction in Commission authority.

151. **Mass. DTE** 97-111, Commonwealth Energy proposed restructuring; Cape Cod Light Compact. Joint testimony with Jonathan Wallach, January 1998.

Critique of proposed restructuring plan filed to satisfy requirements of the electric-utility restructuring act of 1997. Failure of the plan to foster competition and promote the public interest.

152. **N.H. PUC** Docket DR 97-241, Connecticut Valley Electric fuel and purchased-power adjustments; City of Claremont, N.H. February 1998.

Prudence of continued power purchase from affiliate; market cost of power; prudence disallowances and cost-of-service ratemaking.

153. **Md. PSC** 8774, APS-DQE merger; Maryland Office of People's Counsel. February 1998.

Proposed power-supply arrangements between APS's potential operating subsidiaries; power-supply savings; market power.

154. **Vt. PSB** 6018, Central Vermont Public Service Co. rate increase; Vermont Department of Public Service. February 1998.

Prudence of decisions relating to a power purchase from Hydro-Quebec. Reasonableness of avoided-cost estimates. Quality of DU planning.

155. **Maine PUC** 97-580, Central Maine Power restructuring and rates; Maine Office of Public Advocate. May 1998; Surrebuttal, August 1998.

Determination of stranded costs; gains from sales of fossil, hydro, and biomass plant; treatment of deferred taxes; incentives for stranded-cost mitigation; rate design.

156. **Mass. DTE** 98-89, purchase of Boston Edison municipal street lighting; Towns of Lexington and Acton. Affidavit, August 1998.

Valuation of municipal streetlighting; depreciation; applicability of unbundled rate.

157. **Vt. PSB** 6107, Green Mountain Power rate increase; Vermont Department of Public Service. Direct, September 1998; Surrebuttal drafted but not filed, November 2000.

Prudence of decisions relating to a power purchase from Hydro-Quebec. Least-cost planning and prudence. Quality of DU planning.

158. **Mass. DTE** 97-120, Western Massachusetts Electric Company proposed restructuring; Massachusetts Attorney General. Joint testimony with Jonathan Wallach, October 1998. Joint surrebuttal with Jonathan Wallach, January 1999.

Market value of the three Millstone nuclear units under varying assumptions of plant performance and market prices. Independent forecast of wholesale market prices. Value of Pilgrim and TMI-1 asset sales.

159. **Md. PSC** 8794 and 8804, BG&E restructuring and rates; Maryland Office of People's Counsel. Direct, December 1998; rebuttal, March 1999.

Implementation of restructuring. Valuation of generation assets from comparable-sales and cash-flow analyses. Determination of stranded cost or gain.

160. **Md. PSC** 8795; Delmarva Power & Light restructuring and rates; Maryland Office of People's Counsel. December 1998.

Implementation of restructuring. Valuation of generation assets and purchases from comparable-sales and cash-flow analyses. Determination of stranded cost or gain.

161. **Md. PSC** 8797, Potomac Edison Company restructuring and rates; Maryland Office of People's Counsel. Direct, January 1999; rebuttal, March 1999.

Implementation of restructuring. Valuation of generation assets and purchases from comparable-sales and cash-flow analyses. Determination of stranded cost or gain.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 40 of 65

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 41 of 65

162. **Conn. DPUC** 99-02-05, Connecticut Light and Power Company stranded costs; Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel. April 1999.

Projections of market price. Valuation of purchase agreements and nuclear and non-nuclear assets from comparable-sales and cash-flow analyses.

163. **Conn. DPUC** 99-03-04, United Illuminating Company stranded costs; Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel. April 1999.

Projections of market price. Valuation of purchase agreements and nuclear assets from comparable-sales and cash-flow analyses.

164. **Wash. UTC** UE-981627, PacifiCorp–Scottish Power merger, Office of the Attorney General. June 1999.

Review of proposed performance standards and valuation of performance. Review of proposed low-income assistance.

165. **Utah PSC** 98-2035-04, PacifiCorp–Scottish Power merger, Utah Committee of Consumer Services. June 1999.

Review of proposed performance standards and valuation of performance.

166. **Conn. DPUC** 99-03-35, United Illuminating Company proposed standard offer; Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel. July 1999.

Design of standard offer by rate class. Design of price adjustments to preserve rate decrease. Market valuations of nuclear plants. Short-term stranded cost

167. **Conn. DPUC** 99-03-36, Connecticut Light and Power Company proposed standard offer; Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel. Direct, July 1999; supplemental, July 1999.

Design of standard offer by rate class. Design of price adjustments to preserve rate decrease. Market valuations of nuclear plants. Short-term stranded cost.

168. **W. Va. PSC** 98-0452-E-GI, electric-industry restructuring, West Virginia Consumer Advocate. July 1999.

Market value of generating assets of, and restructuring gain for, Potomac Edison, Monongahela Power, and Appalachian Power. Comparable-sales and cash-flow analyses.

169. **Ont. Energy Board** RP-1999-0034, Ontario performance-based rates; Green Energy Coalition. September 1999.

Rate design. Recovery of demand-side-management costs under PBR. Incremental costs.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 42 of 65

170. **Conn. DPUC** 99-08-01, standards for utility restructuring; Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel. Direct, November 1999; supplemental, January 2000.

Appropriate role of regulation. T&D reliability and service quality. Performance standards and customer guarantees. Assessing generation adequacy in a competitive market.

171. **Conn. Superior Court** CV 99-049-7239, Connecticut Light and Power Company stranded costs; Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel. Affidavit, December 1999.

Errors of the Conn. DPUC in deriving discounted-cash-flow valuations for Millstone and Seabrook, and in setting minimum bid price.

172. **Conn. Superior Court** CV 99-049-7597, United Illuminating Company stranded costs; Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel. December 1999.

Errors of the Conn. DPUC, in its discounted-cash-flow computations, in selecting performance assumptions for Seabrook, and in setting minimum bid price.

173. **Ont. Energy Board** RP-1999-0044, Ontario Hydro transmission-cost allocation and rate design; Green Energy Coalition. January 2000.

Cost allocation and rate design. Net vs. gross load billing. Export and wheeling-through transactions. Environmental implications of utility proposals.

174. **Utah PSC** 99-2035-03, PacifiCorp Sale of Centralia plant, mine, and related facilities; Utah Committee of Consumer Services. January 2000.

Prudence of sale and management of auction. Benefits to ratepayers. Allocation and rate treatment of gain.

175. **Conn. DPUC** 99-09-12, Nuclear Divestiture by Connecticut Light & Power and United Illuminating; Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel. January 2000.

Market for nuclear assets. Optimal structure of auctions. Value of minority rights. Timing of divestiture.

176. **Ont. Energy Board** RP-1999-0017, Union Gas PBR proposal; Green Energy Coalition. March 2000.

Lost-revenue-adjustment and shared-savings incentive mechanisms for Union Gas DSM programs. Standards for review of targets and achievements, computation of lost revenues. Need for DSM expenditure true-up mechanism.

177. **N.Y. PSC** 99-S-1621, Consolidated Edison steam rates; City of New York. April 2000.

Allocation of costs of former cogeneration plants, and of net proceeds of asset sale. Economic justification for steam-supply plans. Depreciation rates. Weather normalization and other rate adjustments.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 43 of 65

178. **Maine PUC** 99-666, Central Maine Power alternative rate plan; Maine Public Advocate. Direct, May 2000; Surrebuttal, August 2000.

Likely merger savings. Savings and rate reductions from recent mergers. Implications for rates.

179. **Mass. EFSB** 97-4, Massachusetts Municipal Wholesale Electric Company gas-pipeline proposal; Town of Wilbraham, Mass. June 2000.

Economic justification for natural-gas pipeline. Role and jurisdiction of EFSB.

180. **Conn. DPUC** 99-09-03; Connecticut Natural Gas Corporation merger and rate plan; Connecticut office of Consumer Counsel. September 2000.

Performance-based ratemaking in light of mergers. Allocation of savings from merger. Earnings-sharing mechanism.

181. **Conn. DPUC** 99-09-12RE01, Proposed Millstone sale; Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel. November 2000.

Requirements for review of auction of generation assets. Allocation of proceeds between units.

182. **Mass. DTE** 01-25, Purchase of streetlights from Commonwealth Electric; Cape Light Compact. January 2001

Municipal purchase of streetlights; Calculation of purchase price under state law; Determination of accumulated depreciation by asset.

183. **Conn. DPUC** 00-12-01 and 99-09-12RE03, Connecticut Light & Power rate design and standard offer; Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel. March 2001.

Rate design and standard offer under restructuring law; Future rate impacts; Transition to restructured regime; Comparison of Connecticut and California restructuring challenges.

184. **Vt. PSB** 6460 & 6120, Central Vermont Public Service rates; Vermont Department of Public Service. Direct, March 2001; Surrebuttal, April 2001.

Review of decision in early 1990s to commit to long-term uneconomic purchase from Hydro Québec. Calculation of present damages from imprudence.

185. **N.J. BPU** EM00020106, Atlantic City Electric Company sale of fossil plants; New Jersey Ratepayer Advocate. Affidavit, May 2001.

Comparison of power-supply contracts. Comparison of plant costs to replacement power cost. Allocation of sales proceeds between subsidiaries.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 44 of 65

186. **N.J. BPU** GM00080564, Public Service Electric and Gas transfer of gas supply contracts; New Jersey Ratepayer Advocate. Direct, May 2001.

Transfer of gas transportation contracts to unregulated affiliate. Potential for market power in wholesale gas supply and electric generation. Importance of reliable gas supply. Valuation of contracts. Effect of proposed requirements contract on rates. Regulation and design of standard-offer service.

187. **Conn. DPUC** 99-04-18 Phase 3, 99-09-03 Phase 2; Southern Connecticut Natural Gas and Connecticut Natural Gas rates and charges; Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel. Direct, June 2001; supplemental, July 2001.

Identifying, quantifying, and allocating merger-related gas-supply savings between ratepayers and shareholders. Establishing baselines. Allocations between affiliates. Unaccounted-for gas.

188. **N.J. BPU** EX01050303, New Jersey electric companies' procurement of basic supply; New Jersey Ratepayer Advocate. August 2001.

Review of proposed statewide auction for purchase of power requirements. Market power. Risks to ratepayers of proposed auction.

189. **N.Y. PSC** 00-E-1208, Consolidated Edison rates; City of New York. October 2001.

Geographic allocation of stranded costs. Locational and postage-stamp rates. Causation of stranded costs. Relationship between market prices for power and stranded costs.

190. **Mass. DTE** 01-56, Berkshire Gas Company; Massachusetts Attorney General. October 2001.

Allocation of gas costs by load shape and season. Competition and cost allocation.

191. **N.J. BPU** EM00020106, Atlantic City Electric proposed sale of fossil plants; New Jersey Ratepayer Advocate. December 2001.

Current market value of generating plants vs. proposed purchase price.

192. **Vt. PSB** 6545, Vermont Yankee proposed sale; Vermont Department of Public Service. January 2002.

Comparison of sales price to other nuclear sales. Evaluation of auction design and implementation. Review of auction manager's valuation of bids.

193. **Conn. Siting Council** 217, Connecticut Light & Power proposed transmission line from Plumtree to Norwalk; Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel. March 2002.

Nature of transmission problems. Potential for conservation and distributed resources to defer, reduce or avoid transmission investment. CL&P transmission planning process. Joint testimony with John Plunkett.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 45 of 65

194. **Vt. PSB** 6596, Citizens Utilities rates; Vermont Department of Public Service. Direct, March 2002; rebuttal, May 2002.

Review of 1991 decision to commit to long-term uneconomic purchase from Hydro Québec. Alternatives; role of transmission constraints. Calculation of present damages from imprudence.

195. **Conn. DPUC** 01-10-10, United Illuminating rate plan; Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel. April 2002

Allocation of excess earnings between shareholders and ratepayers. Asymmetry in treatment of over- and under-earning. Accelerated amortization of stranded costs. Effects of power-supply developments on ratepayer risks. Effect of proposed rate plan on utility risks and required return.

196. **Conn. DPUC** 01-12-13RE01, Seabrook proposed sale; Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel. July 2002

Comparison of sales price to other nuclear sales. Evaluation of auction design and implementation. Assessment of valuation of purchased-power contracts.

197. **Ont. Energy Board** RP-2002-0120, review of transmission-system code; Green Energy Coalition. October 2002.

Cost allocation. Transmission charges. Societal cost-effectiveness. Environmental externalities.

198. **N.J. BPU** ER02080507, Jersey Central Power & Light rates; N.J. Division of the Ratepayer Advocate. Phase I December 2002; Phase II (oral) July 2003.

Prudence of procurement of electrical supply. Documentation of procurement decisions. Comparison of costs for subsidiaries with fixed versus flow-through cost recovery.

199. **Conn. DPUC** 03-07-02, CL&P rates; AARP. October 2003

Proposed distribution investments, including prudence of prior management of distribution system and utility's failure to make investments previously funded in rates. Cost controls. Application of rate cap. Legislative intent.

200. **Conn. DPUC** 03-07-01, CL&P transitional standard offer; AARP. November 2003.

Application of rate cap. Legislative intent.

201. **Vt. PSB** 6596, Vermont Electric Power Company and Green Mountain Power Northwest Reliability transmission plan; Conservation Law Foundation. December 2003.

Inadequacies of proposed transmission plan. Failure of to perform least-cost planning. Distributed resources.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 46 of 65

202. **Ohio PUC** 03-2144-EL-ATA, Ohio Edison, Cleveland Electric, and Toledo Edison Cos. rates and transition charges; Green Mountain Energy Co. February 2004.

Pricing of standard-offer service in competitive markets. Critique of anticompetitive features of proposed standard-offer supply, including non-bypassable charges.

203. **N.Y. PSC** 03-G-1671 & 03-S-1672, Consolidated Edison company steam and gas rates; City of New York. Direct March 2004; rebuttal April 2004; settlement June 2004.

Prudence and cost allocation for the East River Repowering Project. Gas and steam energy conservation. Opportunities for cogeneration at existing steam plants.

204. **N.Y. PSC** 04-E-0572, Consolidated Edison rates and performance; City of New York. Direct, September 2004; rebuttal, October 2004.

Consolidated Edison's role in promoting adequate supply and demand resources. Integrated resource and T&D planning. Performance-based ratemaking and streetlighting.

205. **Ont. Energy Board** RP 2004-0188, cost recovery and DSM for Ontario electric-distribution utilities; Green Energy Coalition. Exhibit, December 2004.

Differences in ratemaking requirements for customer-side conservation and demand management versus utility-side efficiency improvements. Recovery of lost revenues or incentives. Reconciliation mechanism.

206. **Mass. DTE** 04-65, Cambridge Electric Light Co. streetlighting; City of Cambridge. Direct, October 2004; supplemental, January 2005.

Calculation of purchase price of street lights by the City of Cambridge.

207. **N.Y. PSC** 04-W-1221, rates, rules, charges, and regulations of United Water New Rochelle; Town of Eastchester and City of New Rochelle. Direct, February 2005.

Size and financing of proposed interconnection. Rate design. Water-mains replacement and related cost recovery. Lost and unaccounted-for water.

208. **N.Y. PSC** 05-M-0090, system-benefits charge; City of New York. Comments, March 2005.

Assessment and scope of, and potential for, New York system-benefits charges.

209. **Md. PSC** 9036, Baltimore Gas & Electric rates; Maryland Office of People's Counsel. Direct, August 2005.

Allocation of costs. Design of rates. Interruptible and firm rates.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 47 of 65

210. **B.C. UC** 3698388, British Columbia Hydro resource-acquisition plan; British Columbia Sustainable Energy Association and Sierra Club of Canada BC Chapter. September 2005.

Renewable energy and DSM. Economic tests of cost-effectiveness. Costs avoided by DSM.

211. **Conn. DPUC** 05-07-18, financial effect of long-term power contracts; Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel. September 2005.

Assessment of effect of DSM, distributed generation, and capacity purchases on financial condition of utilities.

212. **Conn. DPUC** 03-07-01RE03 & 03-07-15RE02, incentives for power procurement; Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel. Direct, September 2005; Additional, April 2006.

Utility obligations for generation procurement. Application of standards for utility incentives. Identification and quantification of effects of timing, load characteristics, and product definition.

213. **Conn. DPUC** Docket 05-10-03, Connecticut L&P; time-of-use, interruptible, and seasonal rates; Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel. Direct and Supplemental Testimony February 2006.

Seasonal and time-of-use differentiation of generation, congestion, transmission and distribution costs; fixed and variable peak-period timing; identification of pricing seasons and seasonal peak periods; cost-effectiveness of time-of-use rates.

214. **Ont. Energy Board** Case EB-2005-0520, Union Gas rates; School Energy Coalition. Evidence, April 2006.

Rate design related to splitting commercial rate class into two classes. New break point, cost allocation, customer charges, commodity rate blocks.

215. **Ont. Energy Board** EB-2006-0021, Natural-gas demand-side-management generic issues proceeding; School Energy Coalition. Evidence, June 2006.

Multi-year planning and budgeting; lost-revenue adjustment mechanism; determining savings for incentives; oversight; program screening.

216. **Ind. URC** 42943 and 43046, Vectren Energy DSM proceedings; Citizens Action Coalition. Direct, June 2006.

Rate decoupling and energy-efficiency goals.

217. **Penn. PUC** 00061346, Duquesne Lighting; Real-time pricing; PennFuture. Direct, July 2006; surrebuttal August 2006.

Real-time and time-dependent pricing; benefits of time-dependent pricing; appropriate metering technology; real-time rate design and customer information

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 48 of 65

218. **Penn. PUC** R-00061366 et al., rate-transition-plan proceedings of Metropolitan Edison and Pennsylvania Electric; Real-time pricing; PennFuture. Direct, July 2006; surrebuttal August 2006.

Real-time and time-dependent pricing; appropriate metering technology; real-time rate design and customer information.

219. **Conn. DPUC** 06-01-08, Connecticut L&P procurement of power for standard service and last-resort service; Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel. Reports and technical hearings quarterly since September 2006 to October 2013.

Conduct of auction; review of bids; comparison to market prices; selection of winning bidders.

220. **Conn. DPUC** 06-01-08, United Illuminating procurement of power for standard service and last-resort service; Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel. Reports and technical hearings quarterly August 2006 to October 2013.

Conduct of auction; review of bids; comparison to market prices; selection of winning bidders.

221. **N.Y. PSC** Case No. 06-M-1017, policies, practices, and procedures for utility commodity supply service; City of New York. Comments, November and December 2006.

Multi-year contracts, long-term planning, new resources, procurement by utilities and other entities, cost recovery.

222. **Conn. DPUC** 06-01-08, procurement of power for standard service and last-resort service, lessons learned; Connecticut Office Of Consumer Counsel. Comments and Technical Conferences December 2006 and January 2007.

Sharing of data and sources; benchmark prices; need for predictability, transparency and adequate review; utility-owned resources; long-term firm contracts.

223. **Ohio PUC** PUCO 05-1444-GA-UNC, recovery of conservation costs, decoupling, and rate-adjustment mechanisms for Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio; Ohio Consumers' Counsel. February 2007.

Assessing cost-effectiveness of natural-gas energy-efficiency programs. Calculation of avoided costs. Impact on rates. System benefits of DSM.

224. **N.Y. PSC** 06-G-1332, Consolidated Edison Rates and Regulations; City of New York. March 2007.

Gas energy efficiency: benefits to customers, scope of cost-effective programs, revenue decoupling, shareholder incentives.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 49 of 65

225. **Alb. EUB** 1500878, ATCo Electric rates; Association of Municipal Districts & Counties and Alberta Federation of Rural Electrical Associations. May 2007.

Direct assignment of distribution costs to street lighting. Cost causation and cost allocation. Minimum-system and zero-intercept classification.

226. **Conn. DPUC** 07-04-24, review of capacity contracts under Energy Independence Act; Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel. Direct (with Jonathan Wallach), June 2007.

Assessment of proposed capacity contracts for new combined-cycle, peakers and DSM. Evaluation of contracts for differences, modeling of energy, capacity and forward-reserve markets. Corrections of errors in computation of costs, valuation of energy-price effects of peakers, market-driven expansion plans and retirements, market response to contracted resource additions, DSM proposal evaluation.

227. **N.Y. PSC** 07-E-0524, Consolidated Edison electric rates; City of New York. September 2007.

Energy-efficiency planning. Recovery of DSM costs. Decoupling of rates from sales. Company incentives for DSM. Advanced metering. Resource planning.

228. **Man. PUB** 136-07, Manitoba Hydro rates; Resource Conservation Manitoba and Time to Respect Earth's Ecosystem. February 2008.

Revenue allocation, rate design, and demand-side management. Estimation of marginal costs and export revenues.

229. **Mass. EFSB** 07-7, DPU 07-58 & -59; proposed Brockton Power Company plant; Alliance Against Power Plant Location. March 2008

Regional supply and demand conditions. Effects of plant construction and operation on regional power supply and emissions.

230. **Conn. DPUC** 08-01-01, peaking generation projects; Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel. Direct (with Jonathan Wallach), April 2008.

Assessment of proposed peaking projects. Valuation of peaking capacity. Modeling of energy margin, forward reserves, other project benefits.

231. **Ont. Energy Board** 2007-0905, Ontario Power Generation payments; Green Energy Coalition. April 2008.

Cost of capital for Hydro and nuclear investments. Financial risks of nuclear power.

232. **Utah** PSC 07-035-93, Rocky Mountain Power Rates; Utah Committee of Consumer Services. July 2008

Cost allocation and rate design. Cost of service. Correct classification of generation, transmission, and purchases.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 50 of 65

233. **Ont. Energy Board** 2007-0707, Ontario Power Authority integrated system plan; Green Energy Coalition, Penimba Institute, and Ontario Sustainable Energy Association. Evidence (with Jonathan Wallach and Richard Mazzini), August 2008.

Critique of integrated system plan. Resource cost and characteristics; finance cost. Development of least-cost green-energy portfolio.

234. **N.Y. PSC** 08-E-0596, Consolidated Edison electric rates; City of New York. September 2008.

Estimated bills, automated meter reading, and advanced metering. Aggregation of building data. Targeted DSM program design. Using distributed generation to defer T&D investments.

235. **Conn. DPUC** 08-07-01, Integrated resource plan; Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel. September 2008.

Integrated resource planning scope and purpose. Review of modeling and assumptions. Review of energy efficiency, peakers, demand response, nuclear, and renewables. Structuring of procurement contracts.

236. **Man. PUB** 2008 MH EIIR, Manitoba Hydro intensive industrial rates; Resource Conservation Manitoba and Time to Respect Earth's Ecosystem. November 2008.

Marginal costs. Rate design. Time-of-use rates.

237. **Md. PSC** 9036, Columbia Gas rates; Maryland Office of People's Counsel. January 2009.

Cost allocation and rate design. Critique of cost-of-service studies.

238. **Vt. PSB** 7440, extension of authority to operate Vermont Yankee; Conservation Law Foundation and Vermont Public Interest Research Group. Direct, February 2009; Surrebuttal, May 2009.

Adequacy of decommissioning funding. Potential benefits to Vermont of revenue-sharing provision. Risks to Vermont of underfunding decommissioning fund.

239. **N.S. UARB** M01439, Nova Scotia Power DSM and cost recovery; Nova Scotia Consumer Advocate. May 2009.

Recovery of demand-side-management costs and lost revenue.

240. **N.S. UARB** M01496, proposed biomass project; Nova Scotia Consumer Advocate. June 2009.

Procedural, planning, and risk issues with proposed power-purchase contract. Biomass price index. Nova Scotia Power's management of other renewable contracts.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 51 of 65

241. **Conn. Siting Council** 370A, Connecticut Light & Power transmission projects; Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel. July 2009. Also filed and presented in **MA EFSB** 08-02, February 2010.

Need for transmission projects. Modeling of transmission system. Realistic modeling of operator responses to contingencies

242. **Mass. DPU** 09-39, NGrid rates; Mass. Department of Energy Resources. August 2009.

Revenue-decoupling mechanism. Automatic rate adjustments.

243. **Utah PSC** 09-035-23, Rocky Mountain Power rates; Utah Office of Consumer Services. Direct, October 2009; rebuttal, November 2009.

Cost-of-service study. Cost allocators for generation, transmission, and substation.

244. **Utah PSC** 09-035-15, Rocky Mountain Power energy-cost-adjustment mechanism; Utah Office of Consumer Services. Direct, November 2009; surrebuttal, January 2010.

Automatic cost-adjustment mechanisms. Net power costs and related risks. Effects of energy-cost-adjustment mechanisms on utility performance.

245. **Penn. PUC** R-2009-2139884, Philadelphia Gas Works energy efficiency and cost recovery; Philadelphia Gas Works. December 2009.

Avoided gas costs. Recovery of efficiency-program costs and lost revenues. Rate impacts of DSM.

246. **B.C. UC** 3698573, British Columbia Hydro rates; British Columbia Sustainable Energy Association and Sierra Club British Columbia. February 2010.

Rate design and energy efficiency.

247. **Ark. PSC** 09-084-U, Entergy Arkansas rates; National Audubon Society and Audubon Arkansas. Direct, February 2010; surrebuttal, April 2010.

Recovery of revenues lost to efficiency programs. Determination of lost revenues. Incentive and recovery mechanisms.

248. **Ark. PSC** 10-010-U, Energy efficiency; National Audubon Society and Audubon Arkansas. Direct, March 2010; reply, April 2010.

Regulatory framework for utility energy-efficiency programs. Fuel-switching programs. Program administration, oversight, and coordination. Rationale for commercial and industrial efficiency programs. Benefit of energy efficiency.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 52 of 65

249. **Ark. PSC** 08-137-U, Generic rate-making; National Audubon Society and Audubon Arkansas. Direct, March 2010; supplemental, October 2010; reply, October 2010.

Calculation of avoided costs. Recovery of utility energy-efficiency-program costs and lost revenues. Shareholder incentives for efficiency-program performance.

250. **Plymouth, Mass., Superior Court** Civil Action No. PLCV2006-00651-B (Hingham Municipal Lighting Plant v. Gas Recovery Systems LLC et al.), Breach of agreement; defendants. Affidavit, May 2010.

Contract interpretation. Meaning of capacity measures. Standard practices in capacity agreements. Power-pool rules and practices. Power planning and procurement.

251. **N.S. UARB** M02961, Port Hawkesbury biomass project; Nova Scotia Consumer Advocate. June 2010.

Least-cost planning and renewable-energy requirements. Feasibility versus alternatives. Unknown or poorly estimated costs.

252. **Mass. DPU** 10-54, NGrid purchase of long-term power from Cape Wind; Natural Resources Defense Council et al. July 2010.

Effects of renewable-energy projects on gas and electric market prices. Impacts on system reliability and peak loads. Importance of PPAs to renewable development. Effectiveness of proposed contracts as price edges.

253. **Md. PSC** 9230, Baltimore Gas & Electric rates; Maryland Office of People's Counsel. Direct, July 2010; rebuttal, surrebuttal, August 2010.

Allocation of gas- and electric-distribution costs. Critique of minimum-system analyses and direct assignment of shared plant. Allocation of environmental compliance costs. Allocation of revenue increases among rate classes.

254. **Ont. Energy Board** 2010-0008, Ontario Power Generation facilities charges; Green Energy Coalition. Evidence, August 2010.

Critique of including a return on CWIP in current rates. Setting cost of capital by business segment.

255. **N.S. UARB** Matter No. 03454, Heritage Gas rates; Nova Scotia Consumer Advocate. October 2010.

Cost allocation. Cost of capital. Effect on rates of growth in sales.

256. **Man. PUB** 17/10, Manitoba Hydro rates; Resource Conservation Manitoba and Time to Respect Earth's Ecosystem. December 2010.

Revenue-allocation and rate design. DSM program.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 53 of 65

257. **N.S. UARB** M03665, Nova Scotia Power depreciation rates; Nova Scotia Consumer Advocate. February 2011.

Depreciation and rates.

258. **New Orleans City Council** UD-08-02, Entergy IRP rules; Alliance for Affordable Energy. December 2010.

Integrated resource planning: Purpose, screening, cost recovery, and generation planning.

259. **N.S. UARB** M03665, depreciation rates of Nova Scotia Power; Nova Scotia Consumer Advocate. February 2011.

Steam-plant retirement dates, post-retirement use, timing of decommissioning and removal costs.

260. **N.S. UARB M**03632, renewable-energy community-based feed-in tariffs; Nova Scotia Consumer Advocate. March 2011.

Adjustments to estimate of cost-based feed-in tariffs. Rate effects of feed-in tariffs.

261. **Mass. EFSB** 10-2/**DPU** 10-131, 10-132; NStar transmission; Town of Sandwich, Mass. Direct, May 2011; Surrebuttal, June 2011.

Need for new transmission; errors in load forecasting; probability of power outages.

262. **Utah PSC** 10-035-124, Rocky Mountain Power rate case; Utah Office of Consumer Services. June 2011.

Load data, allocation of generation plants, scrubbers, power purchases, and service drops. Marginal cost study: inclusion of all load-related transmission projects, critique of minimum- and zero-intercept methods for distribution. Residential rate design.

263. **N.S. UARB** M04104; Nova Scotia Power general rate application; Nova Scotia Consumer Advocate. August 2011.

Cost allocation: allocation of costs of wind power and substations. Rate design: marginal-cost-based rates, demand charges, time-of-use rates.

264. **N.S. UARB** M04175, Load-retention tariff; Nova Scotia Consumer Advocate. August 2011.

Marginal cost of serving very large industrial electric loads; risk, incentives and rate design.

265. **Ark. PSC** 10-101-R, Rulemaking re self-directed energy efficiency for large customers; National Audubon Society and Audubon Arkansas. July 2011.

Structuring energy-efficiency programs for large customers.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 54 of 65

266. **Okla. CC** PUD 201100077, current and pending federal regulations and legislation affecting Oklahoma utilities; Sierra Club. Comments July, October 2011; presentation July 2011.

Challenges facing Oklahoma coal plants; efficiency, renewable and conventional resources available to replace existing coal plants; integrated environmental compliance planning.

267. **Nevada PUC** 11-08019, integrated analysis of resource acquisition, Sierra Club. Comments, September 2011; hearing, October 2011.

Scoping of integrated review of cost-effectiveness of continued operation of Reid Gardner 1–3 coal units.

268. **La. PSC** R-30021, Louisiana integrated-resource-planning rules; Alliance for Affordable Energy. Comments, October 2011.

Scoping of integrated review of cost-effectiveness of continued operation of Reid Gardner 1–3 coal units.

269. **Okla. CC** PUD 201100087, Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company electric rates; Sierra Club. November 2011.

Resource monitoring and acquisition. Benefits to ratepayers of energy conservation and renewables. Supply planning

270. **Ky. PSC** 2011-00375, Kentucky utilities' purchase and construction of power plants; Sierra Club and National Resources Defense Council. December 2011.

Assessment of resources, especially renewables. Treatment of risk. Treatment of future environmental costs.

271. **N.S. UARB** M04819, demand-side-management plan of Efficiency Nova Scotia; Nova Scotia Consumer Advocate. May 2012.

Avoided costs. Allocation of costs. Reporting of bill effects.

272. **Kansas CC** 12-GIMX-337-GIV, utility energy-efficiency programs; The Climate and Energy Project. June 2012.

Cost-benefit tests for energy-efficiency programs. Collaborative program design.

273. **N.S. UARB** M04862, Port Hawksbury load-retention mechanism; Nova Scotia Consumer Advocate. June 2012.

Effect on ratepayers of proposed load-retention tariff. Incremental capital costs, renewable-energy costs, and costs of operating biomass cogeneration plant.

274. **Utah PSC** 11-035-200, Rocky Mountain Power Rates; Utah Office of Consumer Council. June 2012.

Cost allocation. Estimation of marginal customer costs.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 55 of 65

275. **Ark. PSC** 12-008-U, environmental controls at Southwestern Electric Power Company's Flint Creek plant; Sierra Club. Direct, June 2012; rebuttal, August 2012; further, March 2013.

Costs and benefits of environmental retrofit to permit continued operation of coal plant, versus other options including purchased gas generation, efficiency, and wind. Fuel-price projections. Need for transmission upgrades.

276. **U.S. EPA** EPA-R09-OAR-2012-0021, air-quality implementation plan; Sierra Club. September 2012.

Costs, financing, and rate effects of Apache coal-plant scrubbers. Relative incomes in service territories of Arizona Coop and other utilities.

277. **Arkansas PSC** Docket No. 07-016-U; Entergy Arkansas' integrated resource plan; Audubon Arkansas. Comments, September 2012.

Estimation of future gas prices. Estimation of energy-efficiency potential. Screening of resource decisions. Wind costs.

278. **Vt. PSB** 7862, Entergy Nuclear Vermont and Entergy Nuclear Operations petition to operate Vermont Yankee; Conservation Law Foundation. October 2012.

Effect of continued operation on market prices. Value of revenue-sharing agreement. Risks of underfunding decommissioning fund.

279. **Man. PUB** 2012–13 GRA, Manitoba Hydro rates; Green Action Centre. November 2012.

Estimation of marginal costs. Fuel switching.

280. **N.S. UARB** M05339, Capital Plan of Nova Scotia Power; Nova Scotia Consumer Advocate. January 2013.

Economic and financial modeling of investment. Treatment of AFUDC.

281. **N.S. UARB** M05416, South Canoe wind project of Nova Scotia Power; Nova Scotia Consumer Advocate. January 2013.

Revenue requirements. Allocation of tax benefits. Ratemaking.

282. **N.S. UARB** 05419; Maritime Link transmission project and related contracts, Nova Scotia Consumer Advocate and Small Business Advocate. Direct, April 2013; supplemental (with Seth Parker), November 2013.

Load forecast, including treatment of economy energy sales. Wind power cost forecasts. Cost effectiveness and risk of proposed project. Opportunities for improving economics of project.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 56 of 65

283. **Ont. Energy Board** 2012-0451/0433/0074, Enbridge Gas Greater Toronto Area project; Green Energy Coalition. June 2013, revised August 2013.

Estimating gas pipeline and distribution costs avoidable through gas DSM and curtailment of electric generation. Integrating DSM and pipeline planning.

284. **N.S. UARB** 05092, tidal-energy feed-in-tariff rate; Nova Scotia Consumer Advocate. August 2013.

Purchase rate for test and demonstration projects. Maximizing benefits under rate-impact caps. Pricing to maximize provincial advantage as a hub for emerging tidal-power industry.

285. **N.S. UARB** 05473, Nova Scotia Power 2013 cost-of-service study; Nova Scotia Consumer Advocate. October 2013.

Cost-allocation and rate design.

286. **B.C. UC** 3698715 & 3698719; performance-based ratemaking plan for FortisBC companies; British Columbia Sustainable Energy Association and Sierra Club British Columbia. Direct (with John Plunkett), December 2013.

Rationale for enhanced gas and electric DSM portfolios. Correction of utility estimates of electric avoided costs. Errors in program screening. Program potential. Recommended program ramp-up rates.

287. **Conn. PURA** Docket No. 14-01-01, Connecticut Light and Power Procurement of Standard Service and Last-Resort Service. July and October 2014.

Proxy for review of bids. Oversight of procurement and selection process.

288. **Conn. PURA** Docket No. 14-01-02, United Illuminating Procurement of Standard Service and Last-Resort Service. January, April, July, and October 2014.

Proxy for review of bids. Oversight of procurement and selection process.

289. **Man. PUB** 2014, need for and alternatives to proposed hydro-electric facilities; Green Action Centre. Evidence (with Wesley Stevens) February 2014.

Potential for fuel switching, DSM, and wind to meet future demand.

290. **Utah PSC** 13-035-184, Rocky Mountain Power Rates; Utah Office of Consumer Services. May 2014.

Class cost allocation. Classification and allocation of generation plant and purchased power. Principles of cost-causation. Design of backup rates.

291. **Minn. PSC** E002/GR-13-868, Northern States Power rates; Clean Energy Intervenors. Direct, June 2014; rebuttal, July 2014; surrebuttal, August 2014.

Inclining-block residential rate design. Rationale for minimizing customer charges.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 57 of 65

292. **Cal. PUC** Rulemaking 12-06-013, electric rates and rate structures; Natural Resources Defense Council. September 2014.

Redesigning residential rates to simplify tier structure while maintaining efficiency and conservation incentives. Effect of marginal price on energy consumption. Realistic modeling of consumer price response. Benefits of minimizing customer charges.

293. **Md. PSC** 9361, proposed merger of PEPCo Holdings into Exelon; Sierra Club and Chesapeake Climate Action Network. Direct, December 2014; surrebuttal, January 2015.

Effect of proposed merger on Consumer bills, renewable energy, energy efficiency, and climate goals.

294. **N.S. UARB** M06514, 2015 capital-expenditure plan of Nova Scotia Power; Nova Scotia Consumer Advocate. January 2015.

Economic evaluation of proposed projects. Treatment of AFUDC, overheads, and replacement costs of lost generation. Computation of rate effects of spending plan.

295. **Md. PSC** 9153 et al., Maryland energy-efficiency programs; Maryland Office of People's Counsel. January 2015.

Costs avoided by demand-side management. Demand-reduction-induced price effects.

296. **Québec Régie de L'énergie** R-3867-2013 phase 1, Gaz Métro cost allocation and rate structure; ROEÉ. February 2015

Classification of the area-spanning system; minimum system and more realistic approaches. Allocation of overhead, energy-efficiency, gas-supply, engineering-and-planning, and billing costs.

297. **Conn. PURA** Docket No. 15-01-01, Connecticut Light and Power Procurement of Standard Service and Last-Resort Service. February and July 2015.

Proxy for review of bids. Oversight of procurement and selection process.

298. **Conn. PURA** Docket No. 15-01-02, United Illuminating Procurement of Standard Service and Last-Resort Service. February, July, and October 2015.

Proxy for review of bids. Oversight of procurement and selection process.

299. **Ky. PSC** 2014-00371, Kentucky Utilities electric rates; Sierra Club. March 2015.

Review basis for higher customer charges, including cost allocation. Design of time-of-day rates.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 58 of 65

300. **Ky. PSC** 2014-00372, Louisville Gas and Electric electric rates; Sierra Club. March 2015.

Review basis for higher customer charges, including cost allocation. Design of time-of-day rates.

301. **Mich. PSC** U-17767, DTE Electric Company rates; Michigan Environmental Council, Sierra Club, and Natural Resource Defense Council. May 2015.

Cost effectiveness of pollution-control retrofits versus retirements. Market prices. Costs of alternatives.

302. **N.S. UARB** M06733, supply agreement between Efficiency One and Nova Scotia Power; Nova Scotia Consumer Advocate. June 2015.

Avoided costs. Cost-effectiveness screening of DSM. Portfolio design. Affordability and bill effects.

303. **Penn. PUC** P-2014-2459362, Philadelphia Gas Works DSM, universal-service, and energy-conservation plans; Philadelphia Gas Works. Direct, May 2015; Rebuttal, July 2015.

Avoided costs. Recovery of lost margin.

304. **Ont. Energy Board** EB-2015-0029/0049, 2015–2020 DSM Plans Of Enbridge Gas Distribution and Union Gas, Green Energy Coalition. Evidence July 31, 2015, Corrected August 12, 2015.

Avoided costs: price mitigation, carbon prices, marginal gas supply costs, avoidable distribution costs, avoidable upstream costs (including utility-owned pipeline facilities).

305. **PUC Ohio** Case No. 14-1693-EL-RDR, AEP Ohio Affiliate purchased-power agreement, Sierra Club. September 2015.

Economics of proposed PPA, market energy and capacity projections. Risk shifting. Lack of price stability and reliability benefits. Market viability of PPA units.

306. **N.S. UARB** Matter No. M06214, NS Power Renewable-to-Retail rate, Nova Scotia Consumer Advocate. November 2015.

Review of proposed design of rate for third-party sales of renewable energy to retail customers. Distribution, transmission and generation charges.

307. **PUC Texas** Docket No. 44941, El Paso Electric rates; Energy Freedom Coalition of America. December 2015.

Cost allocation and rate design. Effect of proposed DG rate on solar customers. Load shapes of residential customers with and without solar. Problems with demand charges.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 59 of 65

308. **N.S. UARB** Matter No. M07176, NS Power 2016 Capital Expenditures Plan, Nova Scotia Consumer Advocate. February 2016.

Economic evaluation of proposed projects, including replacement energy costs and modeling of equipment failures. Treatment of capitalized overheads and depreciation cash flow in computation of rate effects of spending plan.

309. **Md. PSC** Case No. 9406, BGE Application for recovery of Smart Meter costs, Maryland Office of People's Counsel. Direct February 2016, Rebuttal March 2016, Surrebuttal March 2016.

Assessment of benefits of Smart Meter programs for energy revenue, load reductions and price mitigation; capacity load reductions and price mitigation; free riders and load shifting in peak-time rebate (PTR) program; cost of PTR participation; effect of load reductions on PJM capacity obligations, capacity prices and T&D costs.

310. **City of Austin TX**, Austin Energy 2016 Rate Review, Sierra Club and Public Citizen. May 2016

Allocation of generation costs. Residential rate design. Geographical rate differentials. Recognition of coal-plant retirement costs.

311. **Manitoba PUB**, Manitoba Hydro Cost of Service Methodology Review, Green Action Centre. June 2016, reply August 2016.

Allocation of generation costs. Identifying generation-related transmission assets. Treatment of subtransmission. Classification of distribution lines. Allocation of distribution substations and lines. Customer allocators. Shared service drops.

312. **Md. PSC** Case No. 9418, PEPCo Application for recovery of Smart Meter costs, Maryland Office of People's Counsel. Direct July 2016, Rebuttal August 2016, Surrebuttal September 2016.

Assessment of benefits of Smart Meter programs for energy revenue, load reductions and price mitigation; load reductions in dynamic-pricing (DP) program; cost of DP participation; effect of load reductions on PJM capacity obligations, capacity prices and T&D costs.

313. **Md. PSC** Case No. 9424, Delmarva P&L Application for recovery of Smart Meter costs, Maryland Office of People's Counsel. Direct September 2016, Rebuttal October 2016, Surrebuttal October 2016.

Estimation of effects of Smart Meter programs—dynamic pricing (DP), conservation voltage reduction and an informational program—on wholesale revenues, wholesale prices and avoided costs; estimating load reductions from the DP program; cost of DP participation; effect of load reductions on PJM capacity obligations, capacity prices and T&D costs.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 60 of 65

314. **N.H. PUC** Docket No. DE 16-576, Alternative Net Metering Tariffs, Conservation Law Foundation. Direct October 2016, Reply December 2016.

Framework for evaluating rates for distributed generation. Costs avoided and imposed by distributed solar. Rate design for distributed generation.

315. **Puerto Rico Energy Commission** CEPR-AP-2015-0001, Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority rate proceeding, PR Energy Commission. Report December 2016.

Comprehensive review of structure of electric utility, cost causation, load data, cost allocation, revenue allocation, marginal costs, retail rate designs, identification and treatment of customer subsidies, structuring rate riders, and rates for distributed generation and net metering.

316. **N.S. UARB** Matter No. M07745, NS Power 2017 Capital Expenditures Plan, Nova Scotia Consumer Advocate. January 2017.

Computation and presentation of rate effects. Consistency of assumed plant operation and replacement power costs. Control of total cost of small projects. Coordination of information-technology investments. Investments in biomass plant with uncertain future.

317. **N.S. UARB** Matter No. M07746, NS Power Enterprise Resource Planning project, Nova Scotia Consumer Advocate. February 2017.

Estimated software project costs. Costs of internal and contractor labor. Affiliate cost allocation.

318. **N.S. UARB** Matter No. M07767, NS Power Advanced Metering Infrastructure projects, Nova Scotia Consumer Advocate. February 2017.

Design and goals of the AMI pilot program. Procurement. Coordination with information-technology and software projects.

319. **Québec Régie de l'énergie** R-3867-2013 phase 3A; Gaz Métro estimates of marginal O&M costs; ROEÉ. March 2017.

Estimation of one-time, continuing and periodic customer-related operating and maintenance cost. Costs related to loads and revenues. Dealing with lumpy costs.

320. **N.S. UARB** Matter No. M07718, NS Power Maritime Link Cost Recovery, Nova Scotia Consumer Advocate. April 2017.

Usefulness of transmission interconnection prior to operation of the associated power plant.

321. **Mass. DPU** 17-05, Eversource Rate Case, Cape Light Compact. Direct April 2017, Rebuttal May 2017.

Critique of proposed performance-based ratemaking mechanism. Proposal for improvements.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 61 of 65

322. **PUCO** 16-1852, AEP Ohio Electric Security Plan, Natural Resources Defense Council. May 2017.

Residential customer charge. Cost causation. Effect of rate design on consumption.

323. **Iowa Utilities Board** RPU-2017-0001, Interstate Power and Light rate case, Natural Resources Defense Council. Direct August 2017, Reply September 2017.

Critique of proposed demand-charge pilot rates for residential and small commercial customers. Defects of demand rates and shortcomings of IPL experimental proposal design.

324. **N.S. UARB** Matter No. M08087, NS Power 2017 Load Forecast, Nova Scotia Consumer Advocate. Direct August 2017.

Review of forecast methodology, including extrapolation of drivers of commercial load from US national data; treatment of non-firm and competitive loads; behind-the-meter generation and controlling peak-load growth.

325. **Québec Régie de l'énergie** R-3867-2013 phase 3B; Gaz Métro line-extension policy; ROEÉ. September 2017.

The costs of adding new load. Estimating the durability of revenues from line extensions.

326. **Mass. EFSB** 17-02; Eversource proposed Hudson-Sudbury transmission line; Town of Sudbury. October 2017.

Accuracy of ISO New England regional load forecasts. Potential for distributed solar, storage and demand response.

327. **Manitoba PUB**, Manitoba 2017/18 & 2018/19 General Rate Application; Green Action Coalition. October 2017.

Marginal costs. Rate design. Affordability rate design for low-income and electric-heating customers. Design of residential inclining blocks. Problems with demand charges and demand ratchets. Cost-of-service study improvements.

328. **N.S. UARB** Matter No. M08383, NS Power 2018 Annually Adjusted Rates; Consumer Advocate. January 2018.

Projection of incremental dispatch cost. Computing administrative charges. Methodological issues.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 62 of 65

329. **N.S. UARB** Matter No. M08349, NS Power's Advanced Metering Infrastructure Proposal; Consumer Advocate. January 2018.

Estimation of AMI benefits: load balancing among feeders, critical peak pricing, avoided costs of meters for distributed generation. NS Power's claims of benefits from accounting credits (AFUDC, overheads, and converting write-offs to reduced revenue) and shifting costs to customers (earlier billing, higher recorded usage). Realistic AMI meter life. Excessive charge for customers who opt out of AMI.

330. **N.S. UARB** Matter No. M08350, NS Power 2018 Annual Capital Expenditures Plan; Consumer Advocate. February 2018.

Overlap between ACE projects and AMI project. Hydro project planning and valuation of lost hydro energy output.

331. **Conn. PURA** Docket No. 08-01-01RE05, Proposed Amendment to Peaker Contracts; Connecticut Consumers Counsel. May 2018.

Dividing increased revenues from ISO-NE's Pay-for-Performance mechanism between contract generators and ratepayers.

332. **Kansas CC** Docket No. 18-WSEE-328-RTS, Westar Rate Case; Sierra Club. Direct June 2018. Rebuttal June 2018. Supplement July 2018.

Costs and benefits of running Westar coal plants. Costs of renewables and other alternatives. Recommendation regarding planning, coal retirement schedule, and acquisition of leased capacity.

333. **Cal. PUC** Application 17-09-006; Pacific Gas and Electric Gas Cost Allocation Proceeding; Small Business Utility Advocates. Direct June 2018.

Allocation of gas distribution system costs. Allocation of costs of energy-efficiency programs.

334. **N.S. UARB** Matter No. M08670, NS Power 2018 Load Forecast, Nova Scotia Consumer Advocate. Direct July 2018.

Review of forecast methodology, including treatment of future energy-efficiency programs, treatment of third-party supply and behind-the-meter generation.

335. **Iowa Utilities Board** RPU-2018-0003, MidAmerican Energy Request for Approval of Ratemaking Principles for Wind XII; Sierra Club. Direct August 2018.

Cost and benefits of continued operation of six MidAmerican coal-fired units.

336. **Cal. PUC** A.18-02-016, 03-001, 03-002; 2018 Energy Storage Plans; Small Business Utility Advocates. Direct, Rebuttal and Supplement, August 2018.

Reliance on substation-sited storage. Need for increased emphasis on customer-sited and shared storage. Maximizing benefits, total and for small business. Oversized SDG&E proposed projects. Cost recovery. Storage technology diversity.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 63 of 65

337. **La. PSC** U-34794; Cleco Corp Purchase of NRG Assets and Contracts; Sierra Club. Direct, September 2018.

Economics of NRG generation resources, Cleco Power coal plants and wholesale sales contracts. Risks of the proposed transaction.

338. **Cal. PUC** A.18-11-005; Southern California Gas Demand-Response Proposal; Small Business Utility Advocates. Direct March 2019, Rebuttal April 2019.

Potential benefits of gas demand response and SoCalGas failure to identify potential benefits from its programs. Program design. Cost allocation.

339. **Cal. PUC** A.18-11-003; Pacific Gas & Electric Electric Vehicle Rate; Small Business Utility Advocates. Direct April 2019, Rebuttal May 2019.

Critique of subscription demand charge. Time-of-use periods. Outreach to small business. Time-of-use price differentials.

340. **Cal. PUC** A.18-07-024; Southern California Gas and San Diego Gas & Electric Triennial Cost Allocation Proceeding; Small Business Utility Advocates. Direct April 2019.

Core commercial declining blocks. Computation of customer charges. Embedded versus marginal cost allocation. Marginal cost computation. Allocation of self-generation incentives.

341. **Vt. PUC** Case No. 19-0397-PET; Screening Values for Energy-Efficiency Measures; Conservation Law Foundation. Direct May 2019.

Conceptual basis for including price-suppression benefits to consumers. Avoided T&D costs. Avoided externalities with a renewable energy standard. Risk mitigation.

342. **N.S. UARB** Matter No. M09096; EfficiencyOne Application for 2020–2022 DSM Plan; Consumer Advocate. May 2019

Evaluate NS Power critique of EfficiencyOne proposal. Comparability of efficiency budgets. Affordability. Energy-efficiency programs and resource planning.

343. **N.S. UARB** Matter No. M09191; NS Power 2019 Load Forecast Report; Consumer Advocate. July 2019.

Review load-forecast treatment of energy efficiency, fuel switching, electric vehicles, behind-the-meter solar, AMI-enabled programs, and the changing trend in lighting efficiency.

344. Iowa Utilities Board RPU-2019-001; Interstate Power and Light Rate Case; Sierra Club. August 2019

Review economics of continued operation of five coal units: fuel, O&M, capital additions, overheads, market revenues, and cost of renewable resources. Recommend retirement of all units.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 64 of 65

ACRONYMS AND INITIALISMS

APS	Alleghany Power System
ASLB	Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
BEP	Board of Environmental Protection
BPU	Board of Public Utilities
BRC	Board of Regulatory Commissioners
CC	Corporation Commission
CMP	Central Maine Power
DER	Department of Environmental Regulation
DPS	Department of Public Service
DQE	Duquesne Light
DPUC	Department of Public Utilities Control
DSM	Demand-Side Management
DTE	Department of Telecommunications and Energy
EAB	Environmental Assessment Board
EFSB	Energy Facilities Siting Board
EFSC	Energy Facilities Siting Council
EUB	Energy and Utilities Board
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
ISO	Independent System Operator
LRAM	Lost-Revenue-Adjustment Mechanism
NARUC	National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners
NEPOOL	New England Power Pool
NRC	Nuclear Regulatory Commission
OCA	Office of Consumer Advocate
PSB	Public Service Board
PBR	Performance-based Regulation
PSC	Public Service Commission
PUC	Public Utility Commission
PUB	Public Utilities Board
PURA	Public Utility Regulatory Authority
PURPA	Public Utility Regulatory Policy Act
ROEÉ	Regroupement des organismes environnementaux en énergie
SCC	State Corporation Commission
UARB	Utility and Review Board
USAEE	U.S. Association of Energy Economists
UC	Utilities Commission
URC	Utility Regulatory Commission
UTC	Utilities and Transportation Commission

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 August 7 6:14 PM - SCPSC - 2019-185-E - Page 65 of 65

PLC 7/30/2019