Adams, Hope

From: AARP South Carolina <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Everett Feight
      <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, July 28, 2016 10:08 AM
To: PSC_Contact
Subject: Docket Number 2016-223-E

Jul 28, 2016

South Carolina Public Service Commission SC

Dear South Carolina Commission,

The Public Service Commission needs to think about the consumers they represent when deciding whether or not to let SCE&G have yet another rate increase. Consumers are continuing to pay in advance for projects at the VC Summer plant in Jenkinsville, SC.

Our state law allows utility companies to charge consumers more for cost overruns for big projects like building a nuclear power plant. This project has cost $1.5 billion more than originally estimated and guess who is footing the bill? I and other South Carolina consumers are paying, and it does not appear SCE&G is being held accountable for the project delays.

This is not fair and places a big burden on my personal budget. Why should I have to decide between paying my electric bill, buying groceries or medication or any of the other things I and my family need?

The PSC needs to get to the bottom of this and protect consumers from high utility costs. SCE&G's residential customers already pay more than 16% of our electric bills for this project. And if this new rate increase is approved, we will pay almost 19% more. Will it ever end?

Sincerely,

Mr. Everett Feight
AARP South Carolina <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Marion Arbuckle <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
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South Carolina Public Service Commission SC

Dear South Carolina Commission,

The Public Service Commission needs to think about the consumers they represent when deciding whether or not to let SCE&G have yet another rate increase. Consumers are continuing to pay in advance for projects at the VC Summer plant in Jenkinsville, SC.

Our state law allows utility companies to charge consumers more for cost overruns for big projects like building a nuclear power plant. This project has cost $1.5 billion more than originally estimated and guess who is footing the bill? I and other South Carolina consumers are paying, and it does not appear SCE&G is being held accountable for the project delays.

This is not fair and places a big burden on my personal budget. Why should I have to decide between paying my electric bill, buying groceries or medication or any of the other things I and my family need?

The PSC needs to get to the bottom of this and protect consumers from high utility costs. SCE&G's residential customers already pay more than 16% of our electric bills for this project. And if this new rate increase is approved, we will pay almost 19% more. Will it ever end?

Sincerely,

Mr. Marion Arbuckle
Jul 28, 2016

South Carolina Public Service Commission SC

Dear South Carolina Commission,

The Public Service Commission needs to think about the consumers they represent when deciding whether or not to let SCEG have yet another rate increase. Consumers are continuing to pay in advance for projects at the VC Summer plant in Jenkinsville, SC.

Our state law allows utility companies to charge consumers more for cost overruns for big projects like building a nuclear power plant. This project has cost $1.5 billion more than originally estimated and guess who is footing the bill? I and other South Carolina consumers are paying, and it does not appear SCEG is being held accountable for the project delays.

This is not fair and places a big burden on my personal budget. Why should I have to decide between paying my electric bill, buying groceries or medication or any of the other things I and my family need?

The PSC needs to get to the bottom of this and protect consumers from high utility costs. SCEG's residential customers already pay more than 16% of our electric bills for this project. And if this new rate increase is approved, we will pay almost 19% more. Will it ever end?

Sincerely,

Mrs. Josephine Branch
From: AARP South Carolina <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Harold Geist
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, July 28, 2016 10:09 AM
To: PSC_Contact
Subject: Docket Number 2016-223-E

Jul 28, 2016

South Carolina Public Service Commission SC

Dear South Carolina Commission,

The Public Service Commission needs to think about the consumers they represent when deciding whether or not to let SCE&G have yet another rate increase. Consumers are continuing to pay in advance for projects at the VC Summer plant in Jenkinsville, SC.

Our state law allows utility companies to charge consumers more for cost overruns for big projects like building a nuclear power plant. This project has cost $1.5 billion more than originally estimated and guess who is footing the bill? I and other South Carolina consumers are paying, and it does not appear SCE&G is being held accountable for the project delays.

This is not fair and places a big burden on my personal budget. Why should I have to decide between paying my electric bill, buying groceries or medication or any of the other things I and my family need?

The PSC needs to get to the bottom of this and protect consumers from high utility costs. SCE&G's residential customers already pay more than 16% of our electric bills for this project. And if this new rate increase is approved, we will pay almost 19% more. Will it ever end?

Sincerely,

Mr. Harold Geist
Jul 28, 2016

South Carolina Public Service Commission SC

Dear South Carolina Commission,

The Public Service Commission needs to think about the consumers they represent when deciding whether or not to let SCE&G have yet another rate increase. Consumers are continuing to pay in advance for projects at the VC Summer plant in Jenkinsville, SC.

Our state law allows utility companies to charge consumers more for cost overruns for big projects like building a nuclear power plant. This project has cost $5.5 billion more than originally estimated and guess who is footing the bill? I and other South Carolina consumers are paying, and it does not appear SCE&G is being held accountable for the project delays.

This is not fair and places a big burden on my personal budget. Why should I have to decide between paying my electric bill, buying groceries or medication or any of the other things I and my family need?

The PSC needs to get to the bottom of this and protect consumers from high utility costs. SCE&G’s residential customers already pay more than 16% of our electric bills for this project. And if this new rate increase is approved, we will pay almost 19% more. Will it ever end?

I am retired and live on a fixed income and think that these costs must be managed better. No increase please.

Sincerely,

Ms. Gayle Duggan