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Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC for Approval of Decision to Incur Nuclear Generation Pre-Construction Costs ) DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ELLEN T. RUFF FOR DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ELLEN T. RUFF FOR DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, ADDRESS, AND POSITION WITH DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS.

A. My name is Ellen T. Ruff, and my business address is 526 South Church Street, Charlotte, North Carolina. I am President of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (hereinafter, “Duke Energy Carolinas” or the “Company”) and have overall accountability for Duke Energy Carolinas’ financial results, operational performance, and customer service.

Q. PLEASE STATE BRIEFLY YOUR EDUCATION, BACKGROUND, AND PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS.

A. I am a graduate of Simmons College with a Bachelor of Arts in Business. I also have a Juris Doctor degree from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. I also completed the Harvard Business School’s Advanced Management Program. I am a member of the North Carolina State Bar, and the Mecklenburg County and American Bar Associations. I also serve on the Executive Committee of the North Carolina Citizens for Business and Industry board of directors.

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR BUSINESS BACKGROUND AND EXPERIENCE.

A. I joined Duke Power Company (now known as Duke Energy Carolinas) in 1978 as an attorney in the Legal Department. I was named Vice President and General Counsel of Electric Operations following the creation of Duke Energy Corporation (“Duke Energy”) in 1997. I was named Vice President and General Counsel of Corporate, Gas and Electric Operations in January 1999 and Senior Vice President and General Counsel of Duke Energy Corporation in February

**Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?**

A. Duke Energy Carolinas has applied to the Commission for approval of its decision to incur pre-construction costs of up to $230 million through December 31, 2009 for the Company’s proposed William States Lee, III Nuclear Station to be located in Cherokee County, South Carolina (“Lee Nuclear Station”). The South Carolina allocable portion of these total development costs would be approximately 28%.

The purpose of my testimony is to discuss the importance of the requested approval to Duke Energy Carolinas, and how the Company’s proposed Lee Nuclear Station fits into our strategic plans to meet customers’ needs for reliable, cost-effective electricity while modernizing our fleet, increasing diversity among our generation resources, reducing our environmental footprint, and increasing our energy efficiency and conservation programs and promotion of renewable resources.

In addition to my testimony, Dhiaa Jamil, Group Executive and Chief Nuclear Officer for Duke Energy Carolinas, testifies to the details of the development work and estimated costs for the Lee Nuclear Station; and Janice Hager, Managing Director, Integrated Resource Planning and Environmental
Strategy for Duke Energy, testifies regarding the integrated resource planning analysis that supports the development of the Lee Nuclear Station.

Q. WHY IS DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS DEVELOPING THE LEE NUCLEAR STATION?
A. Duke Energy Carolinas has an obligation to plan for and meet our customers' energy needs, and we must do so reliably and cost-effectively in the face of an uncertain future. Because the Lee Nuclear Station has significant value to our customers in the face of the uncertainties posed by future economic, environmental, regulatory, and operating circumstances, it is prudent for us to take the necessary development activities to preserve the Lee Nuclear Station as a resource option. The Company's need for new base load generation resources over the next decade, combined with the need for greater fuel diversity and a commitment to reducing Duke Energy Carolinas' carbon footprint, make the continued evaluation and development of new nuclear generation an essential part of prudent future resource planning.

Q. HOW DOES THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE LEE NUCLEAR STATION FIT WITH DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS' STRATEGIC PLANS TO MEET CUSTOMER NEEDS?
A. Duke Energy Carolinas has developed a strategic plan to meet sustained customer load growth while maintaining prudent flexibility to respond to dynamic regulatory, environmental and operating circumstances. The Lee Nuclear Station is a key component of Duke Energy Carolinas' comprehensive modernization plan, which also includes increased energy efficiency and demand-response
programs, renewable energy resources, new natural gas resources, and the new advanced clean coal Cliffside Unit 6. In each of the past five years, Duke Energy Carolinas has added approximately 50,000 new customer accounts. Each account typically represents an even greater number of actual users of electricity at each location. As Company witness Janice Hager discusses in her testimony, the recently-filed 2007 Annual Plan demonstrates that Duke Energy Carolinas has a cumulative need for approximately 7,000 MW of new generation capacity by 2018, which grows to approximately 11,000 MW by 2027.

In addition to meeting our customers' growing energy needs, the Company must also consider a changing regulatory landscape. Duke Energy Carolinas is committed to reducing its environmental footprint. As part of the Company's commitments in the Cliffside advanced clean coal Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity ("CPCN") proceeding, North Carolina Utilities Commission ("NCUC") Docket No. E-7, Sub 790 and associated air permit issued by the North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, we will retire approximately 1,000 MW of older, less-efficient coal units by December 31, 2018. Importantly, of the base load resource options available, nuclear generation is the only viable resource with no carbon dioxide (CO₂) or other greenhouse gas emissions. As a result of the potential for future regulatory carbon constraints, the continued development of the Lee Nuclear Station is even more prudent.
Q. WHY IS DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS SEEKING APPROVAL OF ITS DECISION TO INCUR DEVELOPMENT COSTS THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2009?

A. Duke Energy Carolinas incurred total system-wide development costs of $69.6 million, including $8.3 million in accruals, through December 31, 2007. However, nuclear generation facilities have a very long lead time and much work remains that will require the continued expenditure of significant funds during the development phases. In his testimony, Company witness Dhiaa Jamil details the development work already conducted, as well as the anticipated development work needed and costs to be incurred during calendar years 2008 and 2009 to preserve nuclear generation as an available resource option to serve customers’ needs in the 2018 timeframe.

The General Assembly of South Carolina expressed its support for new nuclear generation in the June 1, 2006, Joint Resolution, "A Concurrent Resolution to Advance the Need for Electric Utilities to Build New Nuclear Power Plants in South Carolina and to Urge the Office of Regulatory Staff and the Public Service Commission to Encourage Such Consideration," H. 5236. In addition, in 2007, the legislatures in both South Carolina and North Carolina passed legislation that expressly provides for commission approval of a utility’s decision to incur nuclear pre-construction costs, as well as provides for additional assurances and for recovery of nuclear financing costs during construction. We believe that the assurances sought by this application are consistent with the new law.
The Lee Nuclear Station is the largest single capital project in the history of Duke Energy Carolinas. Duke Energy Corporation plans to spend $23 billion in total on capital projects over the next five years to ensure continued reliable and cost-effective service for its customers. Accordingly, the assurance sought by this Application is critical to the Company's financial well-being and to the ability of Duke Energy Carolinas' customers to continue to count as an option this more diverse, greenhouse gas emission-free, generation source.

Q. Has the final decision been made to construct the Lee Nuclear Station?

A. No. Duke Energy Carolinas will retain substantial flexibility to adjust the development and construction plans in light of additional information to be gained in future years. The Company's annual integrated resource planning filing and subsequent filings related to the Lee Nuclear Station will enable the Commission to review and evaluate future decisions to ensure that the final result is prudent and in customers' long-term best interests. However, given what we know now, continuing to develop the Lee Nuclear Station to have the option of bringing it online in the 2018 timeframe is in our customers' interests.

Q. What is the status of other related regulatory approvals for the Lee Nuclear Station?

A. The regulatory approval process for the Lee Nuclear Station is lengthy and complex. We have taken the first steps toward these regulatory approvals. Duke Energy Carolinas filed a Combined Construction and Operating License Application with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission on December 13, 2007, for
the Lee Nuclear Station. We also filed an application for approval of the decision
to incur pre-construction nuclear generation development expenses for the Lee
Nuclear Station with the North Carolina Utilities Commission on December 7,
2007. We have not yet filed an application for a CPCN with the Commission, and
currently do not anticipate making such a filing until the second quarter of 2008 at
the earliest.

Q. WHAT IS THE STATUS OF ANY OPPORTUNITIES FOR JOINT
OWNERSHIP OF THE LEE NUCLEAR STATION?

A. The Southern Company elected to withdraw from the prior joint development
agreement related to the Lee Nuclear Station and Duke Energy Carolinas is
independently developing the Lee Nuclear Station. As with any resource
addition, however, the Company will continue to assess opportunities for joint
ownership or financial arrangements that could be beneficial for our customers.
Duke Energy Carolinas will update the Commission should there be any
developments regarding joint ownership decisions for the Lee Nuclear Station.

Q. WHY DOES DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS BELIEVE THAT THE
COMMISSION SHOULD GRANT ITS APPLICATION?

A. For all the reasons discussed in my testimony and those of Duke Energy
Carolinas' other witnesses, the continued development of the Lee Nuclear Station
is valuable and important for our customers. We believe that the decision to incur
total pre-construction costs of up to $230 million through December 31, 2009 is
prudent and reasonable. The approval sought by this application will provide
needed additional assurance that the Lee Nuclear Station will continue to be an option to serve Duke Energy Carolinas' customers in the 2018 timeframe.

Q. DOES THIS COMPLETE YOUR PRE-FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY?

A. Yes, it does.