I too am writing in support of the motion to bifurcate or at a minimum sequence docket 2017-305-E and 2017-370-E, in which I am an intervenor. It is not possible to fairly evaluate the Dominion offer prior to assessing the appropriate level of V. C. Summer recovery by SCANA. Further, the process of evaluation must be accessible and transparent to the public to the maximum extent allowable under law.

Lynn Shuler Teague
teaguelynn@gmail.com
803.556.9802
On Aug 11, 2018, at 1:29 PM, Tim Dowdey <wt dowdey@gmail.com> wrote:

All Parties,

I am also writing in support of the motion to bifurcate Docket 2017-370-E. I believe that it is extremely important to ascertain the level of responsibility that SCANA/SCE&G bears in relation to VC Summer Units 2 and 3. Only then can the merger offer by Dominion Energy be properly evaluated.

If the PSC finds that SCANA/SCE&G cannot recover a substantial portion of their costs due to negligence, fraud and concealment, then Dominion's offer of a 7% rate cut would not even be a valid offer. I believe that SCE&G's customers are owed substantially more than what has been put on the table by Dominion and SCE&G.

SCE&G's ongoing efforts to conceal information by using claims of confidentiality only serve to frustrate the investigation into these matters and heighten my concerns of fraud and negligence.

William Dowdey
Intervenor in Docket 2017-370-E

On Wed, Aug 8, 2018 at 4:48 PM, Frank Knapp <fk napp@knappagency.com> wrote:

I support the motion to bifurcate the current docket so as to have the November 1st hearing dedicated exclusively to hearing the issue of the ratepayers' responsibility for SCE&G’s construction costs for the abandoned nuclear plants. Following the PSC’s conclusion and ruling on that matter, only then should the PSC hold a hearing on the proposed Dominion-SCANA merger. Attached is an op.ed and press release on this matter laying out the arguments of the SC Small Business Chamber for bifurcation. Frank Knapp

From: Anna Crowder <acrowder@selesc.org>
Sent: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 2:31 PM
To: 'abateman@regstaff.sc.gov' <abateman@regstaff.sc.gov>; 'jnelson@regstaff.sc.gov' <jnelson@regstaff.sc.gov>; 'shudson@regstaff.sc.gov' <shudson@regstaff.sc.gov>; 'jpittman@regstaff.sc.gov' <jpittman@regstaff.sc.gov>; 'nsedwar@regstaff.sc.gov' <nsedwar@regstaff.sc.gov>; 'chad.burgess@scana.com' <chad.burgess@scana.com>; 'matthew.gissendanner@scana.com' <matthew.gissendanner@scana.com>; 'bgeild@mindsping.com' <bgeild@mindsping.com>; 'wt dowdey@gmail.com' <wt dowdey@gmail.com>; 'Teagle.ynn@gmail.com' <Teagle.ynn@gmail.com>; 'seaton@spilmanlaw.com' <seaton@spilmanlaw.com>; 'mwilloughby@willoughbyhoefer.com' <mwilloughby@willoughbyhoefer.com>; 'lbrandfass@spilmanlaw.com' <lbrandfass@spilmanlaw.com>; 'DBlack@nexsenpruet.com' <DBlack@nexsenpruet.com>; 'emily.w.medlyn.civ@mail.mil' <emily.w.medlyn.civ@mail.mil>; 'dwilliamson@spilmanlaw.com' <dwilliamson@spilmanlaw.com>; 'belton.zeigler@wbd-us.com' <belton.zeigler@wbd-us.com>; 'alex@shissiaslawfirm.com' <alex@shissiaslawfirm.com>
Subject: PSC Dockets 2017-207-E; 2017-305-E; and 2017-370-E

Dear Counselors:

Please see the attached Motion to Bifurcate or, in the Alternative, to Sequence the Hearing and corresponding cover letter filed this afternoon on behalf of the South Carolina Coastal Conservation League and Southern Alliance for Clean Energy in PSC dockets 2017-207-E, 2017-305-E, and 2017-370-E.
Should you have any difficulty accessing the attachments, please do not hesitate to contact me for assistance.

Sincerely,

Anna

Anna Crowder
Legal Assistant
Southern Environmental Law Center
463 King Street, Suite B
Charleston, SC 29403-7204
Tel: 843-720-5270 ext. 210
Fax: 843-414-7039

www.SouthernEnvironment.org

Please consider the environment before printing this email. Thank you.

Disclaimer

The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential.