August 12, 2008

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Mr. Charles Terreni
Chief Clerk of the Commission
Public Service Commission of South Carolina
Synergy Business Park, Saluda Building
101 Executive Center Drive
Columbia, SC 29210

Re: Application of Duke Energy Carolinas for approval to Incur Nuclear Generation Pre-Construction Costs - Lee Nuclear Project
Docket No. 2007-440-E

Dear Mr. Terreni:

Enclosed for filing please find the Attachment to Duke Energy Carolinas' Memorandum in Opposition Friends of the Earth Motion to Rescind or Amend Order, NRC Memorandum & Order in Dockets 52-022 & 52-023 which was inadvertently omitted when the Memorandum was filed. By copy of this letter we are serving copies of the same on all counsel of record. If you have any questions, please have someone on your staff contact me.

Very truly yours,

ROBINSON, MCFADDEN & MOORE, P.C.

Bonnie D. Shealy

/bds
Enclosure

cc/enc: Lawrence B. "Bo" Somers, Associate General Counsel (via email)
Kathryn B. Nolan, Assistant General Counsel (via email)
Nanette Edwards, Esquire (via email & U.S. Mail)
C. Lessie Hammonds, Esquire (via email & U.S. Mail)
Scott A. Elliot, Esquire (via email & U.S. Mail)
Robert Guild, Esquire (via email & U.S. Mail)
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**DOCKETING INFORMATION** (Check all that apply)

- Emergency Relief demanded in petition
- Request for item to be placed on Commission's Agenda expeditiously

Other: Attachment to Duke Energy Carolinas’ Memo in Opposition of FoE Motion to Rescind Order

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INDUSTRY (Check one)</th>
<th>NATURE OF ACTION (Check all that apply)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electric</td>
<td>Letter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric/Gas</td>
<td>Request</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric/Telecommunications</td>
<td>Request for Certification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric/Water</td>
<td>Request for Investigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric/Water/Telecom.</td>
<td>Resale Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric/Water/Sewer</td>
<td>Resale Amendment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>Reservation Letter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railroad</td>
<td>Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewer</td>
<td>Response to Discovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telecommunications</td>
<td>Return to Petition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>Stipulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Subpoena</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water/Sewer</td>
<td>Tariff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Matter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
BEFORE
THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 2007-440-E

In Re:
Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC
For Approval of Decision to Incur Nuclear
Generation Pre-Construction Costs

ATTACHMENT TO DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS’ MEMORANDUM
IN OPPOSITION TO FRIENDS OF THE EARTH MOTION
TO RESCIND OR AMEND ORDER

NRC Memorandum and Order
Dockets 52-022 COL & 52-023 COL
Dated July 23, 2008
In its motion, NC WARN requests that the Commission immediately suspend the hearing notice until: (1) the applicant responds to data requests and other schedule issues concerning the Harris Lake and its water levels, alternative water sources, the impacts on aquatic species, and transportation impacts; and (2) the Commission completes its design certification review of

1 The NRC has received several e-mail requests supporting NC WARN's motion. For the reasons discussed in this Memorandum and Order, these requests are also denied. Requests have been received from the Mayor of the Town of Carrboro, North Carolina, North Carolina State Senator Ellie Kinnaird, and Vinnie DeBenedetto.
the AP1000 reactor, Revision 16, and any resulting modifications are incorporated into the design and operational practices at the Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant Units 2 and 3.

NC WARN first argues that the NRC should suspend the hearing notice because the COL application is not complete. NC WARN states that information regarding the water levels at Harris Lake and information concerning an intake on the Cape Fear River are missing. As support, NC WARN cites an April 17, 2008 letter from the NRC staff to the Applicant that lists specific issues that may "introduce uncertainty into the review schedule." NC WARN argues that this letter shows that the COL application is incomplete and that the notice of hearing should be suspended until the application is complete enough for the NRC staff to establish a review schedule.

The Commission, however, disagrees with this interpretation. The NRC staff did not state the application was incomplete or that they were unable to establish a review schedule. In fact, in the April 17, 2008 letter, the NRC staff docketed the application, thus finding that the application was sufficient enough to commence review.\(^2\) Subsequently, in a May 16, 2008 letter, the NRC staff established a schedule for reviewing the Shearon Harris COL application.

The mere fact that the staff is asking for more information does not make an application incomplete.\(^3\) If the Petitioners believe the Application is incomplete in some way, they may file a contention to that effect. Indeed, the very purpose of NRC adjudicatory hearings is to consider claims of deficiencies in a license application; such contentions are commonplace at

\(^2\) This docketing decision is not challengeable in an adjudicatory proceeding. Instead, in adjudicatory proceedings "it is the license application, not the NRC staff review that is at issue." *Baltimore Gas & Elec. Co.* (Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2), CLI-98-25, 48 NRC 325, 350 (1998).

\(^3\) See, e.g., *Notice of Acceptance for Docketing of an Application for Combined License for Shearon Harris Units 2 and 3*, 73 Fed. Reg. 21,995 (April 23, 2008) (Noting that the docketing of an application does not preclude the NRC staff from requesting additional information from the applicant.).
the outset of NRC adjudications. Accordingly, this claim does not provide a basis for suspending the hearing notice.

NC WARN's second argument is that the NRC should delay the notice of hearing for this COL application until the completion of the certified design rulemaking for the AP1000, Revision 16. According to NC WARN, it is impossible to hold a fair hearing until the completion of the design certification rulemaking because of the interconnections between the design and the rest of the COL application.

A specific provision of Part 52, however, allows applicants to reference a certified design that has been docketed but not approved, and Petitioners may not challenge Commission regulations in licensing proceedings. Thus, although the Commission anticipated that applicants would first seek to have designs certified before submitting COLs which reference those designs, the NRC's regulations, nonetheless, allow an applicant - at its own risk - to submit a COL application that does not reference a certified design.

The Commission discussed this very situation in its Final Policy Statement on the Conduct of New Reactor Licensing Proceedings. In that policy statement the Commission stated that issues concerning a design certification application should be resolved in the design certification rulemaking and not in a COL proceeding. When a contention is raised in a COL

---


5 10 C.F.R. § 52.55(c).

6 10 C.F.R. § 2.335(a).

proceeding that challenges information in the design certification rulemaking, licensing boards
"should refer such a contention to the staff for consideration in the design certification
rulemaking, and hold that contention in abeyance, if it is otherwise admissible." If an applicant
later decides not to reference a certified design, and instead proceeds with a site-specific
design, any admissible issues would have to be addressed in the licensing adjudication.

Accordingly, there is no basis to hold this notice of hearing in abeyance pending
completion of the design certification rulemaking. In sum, in accordance with 10 C.F.R. Part 52,
Petitioners have sufficient information to formulate contentions before the August 4, 2008
deadline.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

For the Commission

/RAJ/

Andrew L. Bates
Acting Secretary of the Commission

Dated at Rockville, Maryland,
this 23rd day of July, 2008.

---

8 Id. at 20,972.
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of
PROGRESS ENERGY, INC.
(Shearon Harris, Units 2 and 3)
(Combined Operating License)

Docket No. 52-022 and 52-023-COL

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing COMMISSION MEMORANDUM AND ORDER (CLI-08-15) have been served upon the following persons by the Electronic Information Exchange.

Office of Commission Appellate Adjudication
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001
Mail Stop O-16C1
Washington, DC 20555-0001
E-mail: ocaamail@nrc.gov

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of the Secretary of the Commission
Hearing Docket
Mail Stop O-16C1
Washington, DC 20555-0001
E-mail: hearingdocket@nrc.gov

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of the General Counsel
Washington, DC 20555-0001
E-mail: seb2@nrc.gov
Kathryn Winsberg, Esq.
E-mail: kw@nrc.gov

OGC Mail Center: OGCMailCenter@nrc.gov

Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20004

Jonathan M. Rund, Esq.
E-mail: jrand@morganlewis.com
DOCKET NO. 52-022 and 52-023-COL
COMMISSION MEMORANDUM AND ORDER (CLI-08-15)

Pillsbury, Winthrop, Shaw, Pittman, LLP
2300 N. Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20037-1122

Counsel for Applicant
John O'Neill, Esq.
E-mail: JohnOneill@PillsburyLaw.com
Stefanie Nelson, Esq.
E-mail: Stefanie.nelson@pillsburylaw.com
Robert B. Haemer, Esq.
Robert.haemer@pillsburylaw.com

North Carolina Waste Awareness
and Reduction Network
P.O. Box 3793
Chapel Hill, NC 27515
John D. Runkle, Esq.
E-mail: jrunkle@pricecreek.com

Dated at Rockville, Maryland
this 23rd day of July 2008

[Original signed by Evangeline S. Ngbea]
Office of the Secretary of the Commission
BEFORE
THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF SOUTH CAROLINA
DOCKET NO. 2007-440-E

In the Matter of Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC for Approval of Decision to Incur Nuclear Generation Pre-Construction Costs For the Lee Nuclear Station in Cherokee County

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that I, Leslie Allen, legal assistant with the law firm of Robinson, McFadden & Moore, P.C., have this day caused to be served upon the person(s) named below Attachment to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC’s Memorandum in Opposition to Friends of the Earth Motion to Rescind or Amend Order in the foregoing matter by causing a copy of same to be placed in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed as follows:

Nanette S. Edwards, Esquire
C. Lessie Hammonds, Esquire
Office of Regulatory Staff
P.O. Box 11236
Columbia, SC 29211

Scott A. Elliott, Esquire
SC Energy Users Committee
Elliott & Elliott, PA
721 Olive Avenue
Columbia, SC 29205

Robert Guild, Esquire
Friends of the Earth
314 Pall Mall
Columbia, SC 29201

Dated at Columbia, South Carolina this 12th day of August, 2008.

Leslie Allen