



2016-334-E

COPY

Complete Form, Print, Sign and Mail to: Public Service Commission of South Carolina 101 Executive Center Dr., Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210

Individual Complaint Form

Filed: led

Dept: SA/ORS

Date: 9/9/16

Time: 2:17

Date\*: \_\_\_\_\_

Complainant or Legal Representative Information: \* Required Fields

Name \* Joseph Wojcicki  
Firm (if applicable) BYPAS INTERNATIONAL  
Mailing Address \* P.O. Box 2837  
City, State Zip \* West Columbia, SC 29170 Phone \* 803-955-7771  
E-mail Joe4ocean@aim.com

Name of Utility Involved in Complaint: \*

SCANA / SCE&G => SF grid => SC Electric Coops

Type of Complaint (check appropriate box below.) \*

- Billing Error/Adjustments
- Deposits and Credit Establishment
- Wrong Rate
- Refusal to Connect Service
- Disconnection of Service
- Payment Arrangements
- Water Quality
- Line Extension Issue
- Service Issue
- Meter Issue
- Other (be specific) Faise claimed BLRA wrongly approved by O.R.S.

Have you contacted the Office of Regulatory Staff (ORS)? \*  Yes  No Name of ORS Contact: \_\_\_\_\_

Concise Statement of Facts/Complaint: \* (This section must be completed. Attach additional information to this page if necessary.)

- Enclosed initial BRIEFING (two pages)
1. Purpose - Reference - Directions
  2. Errors in the Regulatory Process.
  3. Public Anger and Victims.
  4. Expected Solutions
  5. Conclusion

RECEIVED  
2016 SEP -7 PM 3:06  
SC PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Relief Requested: \* (This section must be completed. Attach additional information to this page if necessary.)

As stated initially in A. Expected Solutions

\*\*I GIVE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA PERMISSION TO PUBLISH THIS COMPLAINT AND ITS CONTENTS ON THE COMMISSION'S WEBSITE (dms.psc.sc.gov), AND I UNDERSTAND SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE SUBJECT TO PUBLIC SCRUTINY OR FURTHER RELEASE.  Yes  No

even REQUEST

[Signature]  
Complainant's Signature\* (MUST BE SIGNED, DO NOT PRINT)

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
COUNTY OF LEXINGTON )

VERIFICATION

I, Joseph Wojcicki verify that I have read my complaint filed on September 5, 2016 Internal Use Only  
Complainant's Name \* Date \*

and know the contents thereof, and that said contents are true.

[Signature]  
Complainant's Signature\* (MUST BE SIGNED, DO NOT PRINT)

Processed By	Date

H.E.

BRIEFING by Joseph "Joe" Wojcicki

## 1. PURPOSE\_REFERENCE\_DIRECTIONS.

This complaint/inquiry is about false claimed application of SC Base Load Review Act (BLRA) to directly overcharge SCE&G customers for the investment property of the nuclear non-base load plant in Jenkinsville. The negative economic effect of this method of covering financial costs extends to other utilities in the Southeast region including my electric service.

The parenting SCE&G, SCANA (NYSE:SCG) Corporation created this white-collar international crime via NY Stock Exchange.

I am a SCANA shareholder, electric user served by SC Electric Cooperative, SC taxpayer, US citizen, ex-multi-billion dollar investment projects' coordinator, technical educator in Poland and in SC (top 2% among American educators 1995-2002).

Some directives were received from SC State Agencies/Authorities.

My residence is in distance less than 50 miles from VC Summer Nuclear Plant.

## 2. ERRORS IN THE REGULATORY PROCESS. WHO BLOCKED THE TRUTH AND WHY.

I was an intervenor in PSC docket 2008-196 and read the letter from Ms. Shannon Bowyer Hudson of SC Office of Regulatory Staff (ORS). She stated in her letter to PSC dated June 27, 2008: "The South Carolina Office of Regulatory Staff does not oppose...[but] Ratepayers will not be responsible for such costs unless they are deemed prudent pursuant to the Base Load Review Act." (PSC docket 2008-196-E doc ID # 193643). The ORS/PSC obligation was to check/review the applicability of BLRA to the nuclear plant project in Jenkinsville.

The base load review was never documented in the proper form when brought before SC Public Service Commission (PSC). In such large project, the application of BLRA required the undisputed /prudent, scientific and engineering studies to prove that new units 2 and 3 can be base load. *De facto*, the Broad Rivet inflow statistics show no warranty of 365 days cooling availability (general definition of base load since 1930), nor even 70 % of this annual requirement if just "mathematically interpreted".

SCANA lawyers K. Chad Burgess and Matthew W. Gissendanner being 'hidden' behind SCE&G utility have created several blocking actions to move the PSC away from the use of Bush-Obama stimulus money designed for such investments in the new Nuclear Renaissance epoch. The twin project in Vogtle, GA already is using at least \$8.3 Billion from this federal fund. Now, in 2016 SCANA wants more money from its victims and expects to be excused for huge mismanagements and revealed lack of skilled workmanship that created the three years delay in construction so far, e.g. comparing to China project in Sanmen that started in 2009, approximately in the same time as this SCANA/SCE&G project.

Page 2/2

### 3. PUBLIC ANGER and VICTIMS.

You should observe that public anger is directed toward SCE&G and not to SCANA. Because of this, SCANA is still enjoying an excessively high return on equity (ROE) even you lowered it from 12.27 to 10.5%. Compare this value to other US Corporations with their 4% levels. SCANA has blocked other public servants with a perceived conflict of interest by donations. A great example is USC with the "SCANA Room in Darla Moore School of Business building". The donations come from the victims, including military and other families with children, up to 420,000 (30,000 in poverty) SC veterans and up to 615,000 SC retirees.

### 4. EXPECTED SOLUTIONS.

We all have right to get our money back with punitive damages and the financial covering of additional costs of self-defense actions against SCANA (via SCE&G) fraud. The legal model of solution is in the history of the Enron (NYSE:ENE) 2001-2006 financial mega-scandal. Some documentation/evidence should be available in your dockets but if necessary can be delivered on demand. The Engineering Analysis, as a result of a professional investigation has been ready since 2012-2013

### 5. CONCLUSION.


It is obstruction of justice to keep this process of a financial robbery going ahead for the 9<sup>th</sup> time.

It is the criminal negligence of the ravaging of millions of victims' budgets.

Forcing customers to cut back on the use of their electricity in order to be able to pay the exorbitant rates is leading to problems with people's health up to wrongful death.

To understand the fact that Jenkinsville project CANNOT be for a base load plant it needs a one-hour lesson of a basic "science". How is it that SCANA is able to block any initiatives to discover this, especially to **REVIEW de novo BLRA definition application** in an engineering/scientific way. If this blockade of information were to be approved again, this will confirm the existence of a well-organized white-collar crime ring because the sabotage of SC and US economy is already visible.

Sincerely,



Joseph "Joe" Wojcicki

Columbia September 5, 2016