RE: Docket 2008-196-E: Support for Schedule Change

Dear Mr. Terreni:

Please know that I am totally opposed to any additional reactor being built at the V.C. Summer site in Jenkinsville. As reported in the recently released report by the Governor's Climate, Energy, and Commerce Advisory Committee, direction and emphasis must be on conservation, economy through efficiency, and on renewable energy sources. Resources and research are needed to provide and promote solar, wind, and other clean energy, and to provide to our citizens only such energy alternatives as tested and proven safe for our citizens, and for the welfare of our developing state and our high rate paying over taxed families.

As outlined in the SCE&G application for a rate increase, this project is fraught with a host of risks and the real possibility that costs will spiral out of control, thus having an extremely bad effect on rate payers.
Given the complexities of the case and its potential negative impacts to rate payers and to the environment of South Carolina, I want to voice my support for the letter filed on August 6 by South Carolina Energy Users Committee ("SCEUC") and CMC Steel South Carolina ("CMC Steel"), in which they requested a change in the schedule for filing testimony and for the hearing in this matter.

SCEUC and CMC Steel present valid arguments for changing the schedule and I agree that the case warrants the extension requested. Intervenors and the public deserve much more time to analyze the SCE&G filing and the new schedule proposed will serve that goal while allowing the PSC to make its decision by the end of February 2009. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Wade H. Fulmer