To: PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA

I AM OPPOSED TO ANY INCREASE IN OUR POWER BILL. I TRULY FEEL YOUR REQUEST IS DUE TO NOT PLANNING AND MANAGING THE NUCLEAR PROJECT.

Robert D. Bell
CHARLESTON, SC 29407

Attend the hearing in Columbia or write the PSC to make your voice heard.

Tuesday, October 4 at 6 p.m.
Docket 2016-223-E
Public Service Commission of South Carolina
101 Executive Center Drive Suite 100
Columbia, SC 29210
AARP SC asked the Public Service Commission (PSC) to hold a hearing in Charleston so you could share your concerns about a proposed SCE&G rate hike, but the request was denied. To ensure your voice is heard on this important issue, you will now have to travel two hours to Columbia—that's not right and not fair to you, the consumer!

Electric rate hikes are expensive for families who depend on SCE&G. Each month, South Carolinians pay more than 16% on their electric bill for a nuclear plant that is not providing service to consumers. The PSC has already voted seven times to increase electric bills and are moving to do so again.

Attend the hearing in Columbia or write the PSC to make your voice heard.

Tuesday, October 4 at 6 p.m.
Docket 2016-223-E
Public Service Commission of South Carolina
101 Executive Center Drive Suite 100
Columbia, SC 29210

facebook.com/aarpsc
@AARPSG
aarp.org/SC
MOUNT PLEASANT, SOUTH CAROLINA 29464

October 2, 2016

Docket 2016-223-E
Public Service Commission of South Carolina
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100
Columbia, South Carolina 29210

Dear Commissioners,

I am very distressed about the proposed rate increase by SCE&G to fund nuclear power plants that are not online, have run over budget, and which appear to give SCE&G a large percentage of the new revenue from this increase. I have trouble finding the rationale for any of this.

For those of us who are retirees living on more limited income, this and the previous seven increases are painful.

Please vote against the increase and insist that SCE&G shoulder more of the load for what is clearly very poor engineering, design, and administration of this project. I was trained as an engineer and have some idea of what a well-designed and executed project looks like. This project is clearly a badly designed and executed project – a huge embarrassment to the State and this power company.

Sincerely yours,

Wallace Earl Walker, Ph.D.
Colonel, US Army (Retired)
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Dear Sirs:

Enough is enough. For the past 7 years SCE&G has raised their rates to pay for nuclear plants for a future a lot of us won't be alive to take advantage of. Instead we have to decide whether to withstand 100° days w/o air conditioning or eat, to survive 40° days or buy medicine. I live on a small retirement income-very fixed. Why has SCE&G chosen to gouge present customers instead of issuing bonds like Duke Power did?

Please do not approve any more price hikes for SCE&G. Let them use some of their profits for future development. Help the elderly!

Kaye Brown
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